A Commentary on the Presidential Commission of Inquiry and the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry on Political Victimization

CENTRE FOR POLICY ALTERNATIVES
APRIL 2021



The Centre for Policy Alternatives (CPA) is an independent, non-partisan organisation that focuses primarily on issues of governance and conflict resolution. Formed in 1996 in the firm belief that the vital contribution of civil society to the public policy debate is in need of strengthening, CPA is committed to programmes of research and advocacy through which public policy is critiqued, alternatives identified and disseminated.

No. 6/5, Layards Road, Colombo 5, Sri Lanka Tel: +9411 2081384, +94112081385, +94112081386 Fax: +9411 2081388 Email: info@cpalanka.org Web: www.cpalanka.org Email: info@cpalanka.org Facebook: www.facebook.com/cpasl Twitter: @cpasl

### Acknowledgments

This report was researched and written by Bhavani Fonseka, Kushmila Ranasinghe and Charya Samarakoon. Comments on earlier drafts were provided by Dr Paikiasothy Saravanamuttu, Luwie Ganeshathasan, Nivedha Jeyaseelan and Shalem Sumanthiran. The report was formatted by Ayudhya Gajanayake. CPA is grateful to all the individuals who supported the research by sharing information and insights.

## Contents

Introduction
Section I - The CoI on Political Victimization
Background
Legal framework and related issues14
Commissions of Inquiry Act No 17 of 194814
Mandate16
Key recommendations of the CoI and implications19
Implications and concerns regarding the recommendations of the CoI76
Section II - The SPCoI to implement recommendations of the CoI on Political Victimization78
Legal Framework and related issues78
Special Presidential Commissions of Inquiry Act No. 4 of 1978
Past initiatives related to SPCoI
Mandate of the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry into Political Victimization 84
Conclusion

## Introduction

The Commission of Inquiry to Investigate Allegations of Political Victimization During the Period Commencing 08th January 2015 and Ending 16th November 2019 (The CoI) was appointed by Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2157/44 of 9th January 2020. The CoI was appointed in terms of Section 2 of the Commission of Inquiry Act.<sup>1</sup> By Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2159/16 of 22nd January 2020, the original mandate was amended, granting the CoI broader powers.<sup>2</sup>

The Commission consisted of Hon. Upali Abeyrathne Esquire (Retired Judge of the Supreme Court), Hon. Daya Chandrasiri Jayathilaka Esquire (Retired Judge of the Court of Appeal) and Chandra Fernando Esquire (Retired Inspector-General of Police). At the outset, the CoI was appointed for a period of six months, with proceedings commencing on 17th February 2020. However, the term of the CoI was extended by four months in July 2020,<sup>3</sup> and by an additional period of sixteen days in November 2020.<sup>4</sup> The final report was handed over to the President on 8th December 2020.<sup>5</sup>

Several objections were made to the proceedings and the recommendations of the CoI by various parties, foremost is that the process of inquiry followed by the CoI was neither fair nor just; that parties against whom the proceedings were undertaken were not

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Policy Alternatives v Attorney General (SC FR 32 /2020) available at <<u>https://www.cpalanka.org/centre-for-policy-alternatives-v-attorney-general-sc-fr-32-2020/</u>> accessed 15 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No. 2157/44 of 9th January 2020 available at < <u>http://documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2020/1/2157-44\_E.pdf</u> >

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No. No. 2183/26 of 8 July 2020 available at < http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2020/7/2183-26\_E.pdf>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No. 2201/05 of 9 November 2020 available at < http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2020/11/2201-05\_E.pdf>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 'Final report of PCoI on political victimization handed over to President' (*Daily News*, 8 December 2020) < <u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/12/08/local/23555/final-report-pcoi-political-victimization-handed-</u>

over-president > accessed 12 February 2021

afforded due process guarantees; and that certain complaints entertained by the CoI exceeded its remit, including complaints made by private parties.<sup>6</sup>

Following the submission of its final report to the President, the CoI set in motion a Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry (SPCoI) to implement several recommendations of the CoI, the on-going proceedings of which are not open to the public. The SPCoI is empowered to recommend the imposition of civic disability on persons found guilty of political victimization listed in Item No. 08 of the final report of the CoI, with the effect of bypassing established judicial institutions and mechanisms in the implementation of recommendations of a CoI.<sup>7</sup>

Prime Minister Mahinda Rajapakse tabled a Resolution in Parliament on 9th April 2021, seeking approval to implement the recommendations related to complaints mentioned in Item No. 09 and 10 in the final report of the CoI. The motion is listed to be moved in Parliament by the Prime Minister this week. The Resolution seeks approval to institute criminal proceedings against investigators, lawyers, officers of the Attorney General's Department, witnesses, and others involved in these complaints. It also seeks approval for the dismissal of several cases currently pending in court, with the effect of undermining the independence of the judiciary and the rule of law.<sup>8</sup>

The present commentary by the Centre for Policy Alternatives (CPA) aims to provide a brief analysis of the CoI on political victimization and the subsequent establishment of the SPCoI. CPA notes with concern that the concluded proceedings of the CoI and the

<sup>6</sup>Kishali Pinto-Jayawardene, 'A hard rain' destined to fall on Sri Lanka's institutions of justice' (*The Sunday Times*, 7 February 2021) available at <<u>http://www.sundaytimes.lk/210207/columns/a-hard-rain-destined-to-fall-on-sri-lankas-institutions-of-justice-431716.html</u>> accessed 12 February 2021 <sup>7</sup> Centre for Policy Alternatives, 'Initial Concerns with the Commission of Inquiry to Investigate

Allegations of Political Victimization and Subsequent Action' available at <<u>https://www.cpalanka.org/initial-concerns-with-the-report-of-the-commission-of-inquiry-to-investigate-allegations-of-political-victimization-and-subsequent-action/></u> accessed 28 March 2021 <sup>8</sup> Centre for Policy Alternatives, 'Short Note on the Resolution Seeking Parliamentary Approval to Implement the Recommendations od the Commission of Inquiry on Political Victimization' available at <<u>https://www.cpalanka.org/short-note-on-the-resolution-seeking-parliamentary-approval-to-implement-the-recommendations-of-the-coi-on-political-victimization/</u> > accessed 19 April 2021 on-going proceedings of the SPCoI are both politicized and problematic, and may have grave implications on the rights of citizens as well as the independence of the judiciary, rule of law and democracy in Sri Lanka. It is to be noted, however, that the commentary is not an exhaustive analysis of the CoI and the SPCoI. CPA hopes that the commentary may facilitate critical engagement with the proceedings and outcomes of the CoI and the SPCoI.

The commentary consists of two sections. The first section of the commentary provides a brief overview of the background and the legal framework of the CoI on political victimization, followed by a timeline flagging key dates and developments of its proceedings, and a table listing key recommendations and their implications. The second section of the commentary examines the SPCoI to implement the recommendations of the CoI, which includes an outline of the legal framework of SPCoIs with reference to several past SPCoI initiatives, and the mandate of the on-going SPCoI proceedings.

### Section I - The CoI on Political Victimization

Section I examines the background of the CoI and provides a timeline of important developments related to its proceedings. The section also includes a brief overview of the legal framework, mandate and key recommendations of the CoI to highlight issues with the concluded proceedings, including its broad mandate, lack of independence and implications for on-going legal proceedings and investigations.

### Background

Presidential Commissions of Inquiry have been used in the past to target political opponents, distract from calls for accountability, and even distort evidence. They have also caused delays in judicial proceedings and police investigations, and increased risks to victims and witnesses.<sup>9</sup> Recommendations of previous Commissions of Inquiries have not resulted in prosecutions of those accountable for human rights abuses and corruption.<sup>10</sup> Previous PCoIs<sup>11</sup> have also been criticized for their lack of independence by various actors including parliamentarians and members of the international community.

For instance, the International Independent Group of Eminent Persons (IIGEP) which was set up to monitor the Commission of Inquiry to Investigate and Inquire into Alleged Serious Violations of Human Rights established in 2006, noted its "apprehension

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Amnesty International, 'Twenty Years of Make-believe: Sri Lanka's Commissions of Inquiry' (2009) available at <<u>https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/48000/asa370052009eng.pdf</u>> accessed 12 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Amnesty International, 'Old Ghosts in New Garb: Sri Lanka's Return to Fear' page 21 available at <<u>https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/ASA3736592021ENGLISH.PDF</u>> accessed 26 March 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Centre for Policy Alternatives, 'The Presidential Commission to Investigate into Complaints Regarding Missing Persons: Trends, Practices and Implications' (December 2014) available at <<u>https://www.cpalanka.org/the-presidential-commission-to-investigate-into-complaints-regarding-missing-persons-trends-practices-and-implications/</u> >; Centre for Policy Alternatives, 'A Commentary on the Presidential Commission to Investigate Missing Persons' (March 2014) available at <<u>https://www.cpalanka.org/a-commentary-onthe-presidential-commission-to-investigate-missing-persons/</u> >

regarding the absence of political will and the institutional inability of Sri Lanka to conduct human rights inquiries in accordance with international norms and standards."<sup>12</sup>

Moreover, the 2015 report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights on the human rights situation in Sri Lanka<sup>13</sup> states, "in the course of its investigation, OHCHR obtained access to the unpublished reports of several domestic investigations, including the 2006 Udalagama Commission and the 2012 Army Court of Inquiry. These reports confirm OHCHR's concerns about their lack of independence...". OHCHR's concerns were highlighted by MP Mahinda Samarasinghe during the parliamentary debates on the report. He further stated that the same reference was made to the Paranagama Commission.<sup>14</sup>

Since its establishment, the CoI on political victimization had on several occasions attempted to interfere with on-going judicial proceedings, resulting in clashes with the Attorney General's Department. The Attorney General had informed the Commission that issuing of summons on Attorney General's Department officials was beyond its mandate as a fact-finding body<sup>15</sup> and is therefore invalid.<sup>16</sup>

The proceedings of the CoI were also criticized for circumventing due process and fair trial rights guaranteed by the Constitution. Raising a privilege issue regarding the CoI, parliamentarian M.A. Sumanthiran stated,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> International Independent Group of Eminent Persons, 'The Final Report of the IIGEP' available at < <u>http://www.humanrights.asia/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/The-Final-Report-of-the-IIGEP.pdf</u>> accessed 5 April 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> At pg 11 para 57

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Parliament of Sri Lanka, Hansard (22.10.2015) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;<u>https://www.parliament.lk/uploads/documents/hansard/1446089031089971.pdf</u>> at pg 1014. <sup>15</sup>Zulfick Farzan, 'COI not a judicial tribunal but a fact-finding body; AG' (*Newsfirst*, 23 June 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/06/23/coi-not-a-judicial-tribunal-but-a-fact-finding-body-ag-complete-letter-to-coi/</u>> accessed 12 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Daily Post LK, 'AG tells COI on political victimisation it has no mandate to summon AG Dept officials' (*Daily Post LK*, 23 June 2020) available at <<u>https://www.dailypost.lk/ag-tells-coi-on-political-</u>victimisation-it-has-no-mandate-to-summon-ag-dept-officials/> accessed 12 February 2021

"I have received from time to time summons from the commission to attend before the commissions. I have been named a respondent in that summons. Since they were in the Sinhala language I wrote to the commission that I be provided with the material to respond and to send an English to Tamil translations of those matters. I appeared twice before the said commission and made the same request. There the Commissioner ordered that I be provided with material and their translations. Nothing of the sort happened."<sup>17</sup>

The opposition raised concerns about the delay in tabling the final report of the CoI in Parliament. Chief Opposition Whip and Kandy District MP Lakshman Kiriella stated that, "another Presidential Commission has been appointed to determine punishments on the basis of recommendations of the commission of inquiry on political victimization. It has been given only three months. We need to debate it in Parliament by that time and to know the content of those reports. It is unfair to delay the process by not tabling them."<sup>18</sup>

Following numerous requests by the opposition to nominate a date to debate the matter in Parliament,<sup>19</sup> the final report of the CoI was tabled on 9th March 2021.<sup>20</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Saman Indrajith, 'Sumanthiran's privilege issue referred to Parliamentary Ethics and Privileges Committee' (*The Island*, 11 February 2021) available at <<u>https://island.lk/sumanthirans-privilege-issue-</u> <u>referred-to-parliamentary-ethics-and-privileges-committee/</u>> accessed 15 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Saman Indrajith, 'Opposition urges govt to table PCoI reports on Easter carnage and political victimisation' (*The Island*, 11 February 2021) available at <<u>https://island.lk/opposition-urges-govt-to-table-pcoi-reports-on-easter-carnage-and-political-victimisation</u>/> accessed 15 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Opposition questions failure to table report on political victimisation' (*Colombo Gazette*, 18 February, 2021) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;<u>https://colombogazette.com/2021/02/18/opposition-questions-failure-to-table-report-on-political-victimization/</u>> accessed 15 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Sandasen Marasinghe, 'Political Victimisation Commission Report tabled' (*Daily News*, 10 March 2021) available at <<u>http://dailynews.lk/2021/03/10/political/243600/political-victimisation-commission-report-tabled</u>> accessed 28 March 2021

# The Presidential Commission of Inquiry to Investigate Allegations of Political Victimization 2015-2019

## NIMIELINE

#### **9 JANUARY 2020**

The Commission of Inquiry to Investigate Allegations of Political Victimization 2015-2019 (Col) appointed.

#### 22 JANUARY 2020

The original mandate of the Col was amended granting broader powers. The first complaint received by the Col.



#### 29 JANUARY 2020

The AG informed the Col in writing that the Col has no statutory or legal authority to order the AG to refrain from performing his statutory functions in the above case.

#### 27 JANUARY 2020

The Col issued an order preventing the Permanent High Court at bar from proceeding in the case filed against the former Navy Commander Wasantha Karannagoda and others and informed the Attorney General (AG) to halt the investigations and prosecution in the cases being probed by the Col, including the trial of several Navy officers accused of abductions, the trial of army officers accused of abducting Prageeth Eknaligoda, and the trial of Niraj Roshan, alias "Ali Roshan", for elephant racketeering.







## Legal framework and related issues

### Commissions of Inquiry Act No 17 of 1948

The Commission of Inquiry was established under the Commissions of Inquiry Act No 17 of 1948 (as amended).<sup>21</sup> Under section 2 of the Act, the President has the power to appoint a Commission of Inquiry whenever it appears to the President to be necessary that an investigation or inquiry or both where appropriate should be held and information obtained as to-

- the administration, management and functions of any department of Government, any statutory body, any public or local authority or any other institution
- the conduct of any public officer, an employee of a statutory body, any public or local authority or any institution
- any matter or incident which will, in his opinion, be in the national interest or for public safety or wellbeing

The powers of a Commission of Inquiry appointed under the Act are set out in section 7 of the Act.

- 1. To procure and receive evidence, and to examine witnesses.
- 2. To require the evidence of any witness to be given on oath or affirmation
- 3. To make an application to any Court of law or any tribunal to obtain any material filed or recorded in such court of law or tribunal
- 4. To require any person to produce any document, or any other material in his possession
- 5. To require any person to provide any information in writing which he is likely to possess

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Commissions of Inquiry Act No. 17 of 1948 available at <<u>https://www.lawlanka.com/lal/consolidationFullView?selectedAct=1981Y1V8C&searchPage=false</u>>

- 6. To summon any person residing in Sri Lanka to attend any meeting of the commission to give evidence or produce any document or other thing in his possession, and to examine him as a witness
- 7. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of the Evidence Ordinance, to admit any evidence, which might be inadmissible in civil or criminal proceedings
- 8. To admit or exclude the public from the inquiry and to admit or exclude the press from the inquiry
- 9. To recommend that any person whose conduct is the subject of inquiry or who is in any way implicated or concerned in the matter under inquiry be awarded such sum of money which may have been reasonably incurred by such person as costs and expenses in connexion with the inquiry.
- 10. Make recommendations to the relevant disciplinary authority with regard to the action that it considers necessary to be taken in respect of any person whose conduct is the subject of the inquiry or investigation or who is in any way implicated or concerned in the matter which such Commission was warranted to investigate.

Under section 8 of the Act, the following powers may be conferred by the President on a commission appointed under this Act if the commission so requests.

- Power to require by written notice the manager of any bank in Sri Lanka to produce any documents of the bank containing entries relating to the account of any person whose conduct is being inquired into by the commission or of the spouse or child of such person.
- Power to require by written notice the Commissioner-General of Inland Revenue to furnish information available to such Commissioner-General relating to the affairs of any person whose conduct is being inquired into by the commission or of the spouse or a child of such person.

Under sections 10, 11 and 12 contempt against the Commission, or summons issued by the Commission and failure to obey summons by commission shall be punishable by the Court of Appeal under Article 105(3) of the Constitution. As per section 18 no civil or criminal proceedings shall be instituted against any member of a commission in respect of any act bona fide done or omitted to be done by him as such member.

### Mandate

The CoI on political victimization was appointed by Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2157/44 of 9th January 2020. The original mandate was amended by Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2159/16 of 22nd January 2020.

The mandate of the Commission of Inquiry is as follows,

Inquire into and obtain information regarding the alleged political victimization during the period from 8th January 2015 to 16th November 2019, particularly,

a) whether there has been malpractice, irregularity or non-compliance with or disregard of proper prudence, norms, guidelines, procedures and best practices applicable in relation to the administration of the Commission to Investigate Allegations of Bribery or Corruption (CIABOC), Financial Investigations Division (FCID) and the Special Investigations Unit (SIU) of the Sri Lanka Police,

b) whether any investigations by the CIABOC, FCID or SIU had been influenced, obstructed or prevented in any manner, resulting in loss, damage, injury or detriment, either direct or imputed to any persons,

c) whether any officer tasked with conduct of investigations by CIABOC, FCID or SIU have acted under undue influence by third parties, including the Anti-Corruption unit,

d) whether any person had committed any act of political victimization, misuse or abuse of power, corruption or any fraudulent act in relation to the functions of the Anti-Corruption unit, CIABOC, FCID or SIU, or in relation to the administration of any law or administration of justice and make recommendations regarding any matters thus inquired into.<sup>22</sup>

By Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2159/16 of 22nd January 2020, the original Mandate of the said CoI was amended. In addition to the power to inquire into alleged acts of political victimization and misuse or abuse of power by the above-mentioned agencies, the CoI was also given the power to inquire into the actions of the Criminal Investigation Department.

The CoI was also mandated to take immediate necessary measures to prevent prejudice being caused in inquiries, investigations and legal proceedings held in relation to the Triforces and Sri Lanka Police and the Public Service adversely affecting the National Security and /or the Public Services.<sup>23</sup> Clause (e) which was introduced through the amended mandate of the CoI provides the aforementioned agencies the power,

"[T]o ascertain all complaints the investigation of which was first allegedly decided upon by the Investigation Unit referred to in the Warrant and thereafter investigation thereon initiated and those complaints made in relation to officers of the Tri-forces and Sri Lanka Police that affect the national security and in the case of inquiries, investigations *and legal proceedings held* causing prejudice to some person in the administration of laws or administration of Justice due to pressure being exerted by a third party and in the case of inquiries, investigations and legal proceedings held in relations and legal proceedings held causing below the Public Service affecting the National Security and /or *where prejudice was deemed to have been caused in any manner whatsoever and take immediate necessary measures to prevent prejudice being caused* and National Security and Public Services being adversely affected." <sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No 2157/44 of 9th January 2021 available at <a href="http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2020/1/2157-44\_E.pdf">http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2020/1/2157-44\_E.pdf</a>>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2159/16 of 22nd January 2020 available at <<u>http://documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2020/1/2159-16\_E.pdf</u>>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> ibid

These far reaching and broad powers granted to the CoI are beyond the scope of the powers that can be legally granted to a Commissions of Inquiry as provided by the Commissions of Inquiry Act (as amended). The Centre for Policy Alternatives (CPA) and its Executive Director, Dr. Paikiasothy Saravanamuttu filed a Fundamental Rights application challenging these powers.<sup>25</sup> CPA noted in its Petition that the law already provides safeguards to protect any person from being unfairly prosecuted and investigated and that the powers granted to the CoI would operate outside the established legal structures and would cause serious prejudice to victims of crimes and could potentially further delay cases which have been on-going for several years. The wide powers granted to the CoI violate the separation of powers and the rule of law guaranteed in the Constitution and violate the fundamental rights guaranteed under Article 12(1) of the Constitution.<sup>26</sup>

15 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Centre for Policy Alternatives v Attorney General (SC FR 32 / 2020) available at <<u>https://www.cpalanka.org/centre-for-policy-alternatives-v-attorney-general-sc-fr-32-2020/</u>> accessed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Centre for Policy Alternatives v Attorney General (SC FR 32 / 2020)

## Key recommendations of the CoI and implications

Background	Key recommendations of the CoI	Implications
<ol> <li>Complaint against the Anti-Corr Witness: Wijeyadasa Rajapakshe</li> </ol>	ruption Committee	
Several Members of Parliament, public officers and police officers have been named as respondents in this complaint. On 28 November 2020, MP Sumanthiran raised a privilege issue as regards the Commission's inquiry into political victimization. <sup>27</sup> He stated that he had received summons from the CoI in Sinhala and repeated requests for translations had been ignored. He also	Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry to investigate and impose penalties on respondents of the complaints against the Anti-Corruption Committee.	Commission of Inquiry to implement recommendations of the Presidential

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Saman Indrajith 'Sumanthiran's privilege issue referred to Parliamentary Ethics and Privileges Committee' available at <<u>https://island.lk/sumanthirans-privilege-issue-referred-to-parliamentary-ethics-and-privileges-committee/</u>>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No 2212/53 of 29th January 2021 available at < <u>http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf</u> >

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Chandani Kirinde, 'Members of previous Govt. may face civil disability if found guilty by newly appointed Special Presidential Col' (*DailyFT*, 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021) available at < <u>http://www.ft.lk/front-page/Members-of-previous-Govt-may-face-civil-disability-if-found-guilty-by-newly-appointed-Special-Presidential-Col/44-712430</u> > accessed 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021.

stated that, since he is referred to as	This is the first time that a SPCoI has been
"respondent", to furnish him with	established to 'implement' the
material relevant to any allegation made	recommendations of a COI. The usual
against him. However, he has not	method has been to refer recommendations
received any material from the	of a COI to the Attorney General to take steps
Commission to respond to the	under the penal law. This may result in
allegations. <sup>28</sup>	bypassing the normal prosecutorial and
	judicial institutions in implementing the
	recommendations of the CoI.
	This is a cause for concern given that the Attorney General himself objected to his own officers being summoned to the CoI and questioned as to ongoing prosecutions. The
	Attorney General resisted such questioning
	on the basis that a fact-finding Commission
	of Inquiry had no powers to interfere with
	ongoing court cases. <sup>31</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Parliament of Sri Lanka, Hansard 28.11.2020 available at <<u>https://www.parliament.lk/uploads/documents/hansard/11736\_english\_2020-11-</u> 28.pdf>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Kishali Pinto-Jayawardene, 'A hard rain' destined to fall on Sri Lanka's institutions of justice' (*The Sunday Times*, 7 February 2021) available at <<u>http://www.sundaytimes.lk/210207/columns/a-hard-rain-destined-to-fall-on-sri-lankas-institutions-of-justice-431716.html</u>> accessed 12 February 2021

### 2. Complaint against investigations in the case of 'The Missing Eleven'- complaints no. 01/2020, 02/2020, 03/2020 and 05/2020 Complainants:

Admiral Wasantha Karannagoda

#### Rear Admiral D.K.P. Dassanayake

#### Commander Sumith Ranasinghe

This complaint concerns the	The CoI recommends that Admiral Wasantha	These recommendations, if implemented,
investigations into the abduction of 11	Karannagoda, Rear Admiral D.K.P. Dassanayake	would undermine the independence of the
persons from Kotahena and suburbs	and other accused should be acquitted of all	judiciary and the rule of law. It also
between 25 August 2008 and 21 March	charges in the case filed against them in the Fort	compromises the independence of the
2009. After prolonged investigations, a	Magistrate's Court relating to the abduction and	investigators who have conducted the
case was finally filed at the Permanent	disappearance of 11 persons. Similarly, it also	investigation and would in future deter
High Court Trial-at-Bar. The	recommends the withdrawal of the indictment	impartial action by investigating officers in
investigations have been marked by	filed against them in the case no. 1448/2020 filed	cases which are politically sensitive.
intimidation of witnesses, and the	in the permanent High Court Trial at Bar held in	
promotion of Navy officers charged	Colombo, by the Attorney General.	It would also adversely affect the
with being involved in the abduction.		independence of the Attorney General and
	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	prosecuting officers of the Attorney General's
In his evidence, Admiral Wasantha	investigators, lawyers and others involved in the	Department, and deter impartial action in
Karannagoda stated that he had taken	investigation and prosecution be charged for the	cases which are politically sensitive.

all possible steps at that time to	offences of fabricating false evidence and Soon after the appointment of the CoI, it
investigate into the information he	corruption under the Penal Code and the Bribery advised the Attorney General to suspend the
received of the detention of youths in	Act. examination of this case. The Commission
the Trincomalee Navy Camp and	prevented the Attorney General or AG's
established that the abductions were in	department officials from taking evidence or
fact carried out by Lieutenant	prosecuting the complainants until the
Commander Sampath Munasinghe and	Commission's recommendations with regard
others under his command. He had	to the complaints made by these officers are
reported this information to the Police	handed over to the President. The AG has
and sent Munasinghe on compulsory	told the commission it has no legal powers to
leave.	instruct the AG on cases before court. <sup>32</sup>
Several of the accused giving evidence	
stated that they had been arrested as a	
result of a conspiracy by the CID who	
fabricated evidence to please members	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> 'AG told to halt abduction cases against Karannagoda, Dasanayake' (*Daily News*, 28 January 2020) available at <<u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/01/28/local/209735/%E2%80%98ag-told-halt-abduction-cases-against-karannagoda-dasanayake%E2%80%99?page=6</u>> accessed 16 February 2021;

<sup>&#</sup>x27;AG refuses to bow down to PCoI instructions' (*Colombo Gazette*, 28 January 2020) available at <<u>https://colombogazette.com/2020/01/28/ag-refuses-to-bow-down-to-pcoi-instructions/</u>> accessed 16 February 2021;

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Commission has no right to give orders, AG notifies!' (*Sri Lanka Mirror*, 29 January 2020) available at <<u>https://srilankamirror.com/news/16927-</u> <u>commission-has-no-right-to-give-orders-ag-notifies</u>> accessed 16 February 2021

of the former government.		
The report states that Admiral Karannagoda and others have been framed in this case to "fulfil the political ambitions of the members of the former government and satisfy the Diaspora and other international actors."		
	s in the assassination of MP Nadaraja Raviraj - com	plaints no. 80/2020, 55/2020 and 107/2020
Complainants:		
Lt.Col. Prasad Hettiarachchi		
Naval Intelligence officer Gamini Senevi	ratne	
Intelligence Officer Pradeep Chaminda		
This case concerns the assassination of	The CoI recommends that the accused in the case	These recommendations if implemented
MP Nadaraja Raviraj and his security	of the assassination of MP Nadaraja Raviraj be	would result in the intimidation and
officer in 2006. His death was seen as a	acquitted of all charges. It also recommends that	harassment of witnesses of the case.
setback for moderates in Sri Lanka and	the indictment in the case filed in the High Court	
led to thousands of people marching in	of Colombo be withdrawn by the Attorney	It would also result in gravely undermining
Colombo in protest at the murder.	General.	the independence of the judiciary and the
		investigators.

At the time of the trial in 2016, then	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	
President Sirisena expressed his concern	investigators and witnesses involved in the	
that naval and military commanders	investigation of the case be charged for the	
had been summoned before the courts.	offences of fabricating false evidence, and	
The jury's verdict was delivered at	wrongful confinement and corruption under the	
midnight following a unanimous	Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	
decision in the month-long trial,		
acquitting all the accused. This decision		
has been appealed.		
complaints no. 344/2020, 205/2020 Complainants: Dhammika Anil Mapa Nihal Jayatilleke Amith Kithsiri Ranawaka Bandula Thilakasiri		
The investigations into these alleged finan	ncial The CoI recommends that the accused in the	The accused in the Divi Neguma case were
irregularities was carried out based o	n a case of alleged financial irregularities in	acquitted of all charges by the Colombo High
complaint lodged by the anti-corrup	tion various projects under the Divi Neguma	Court on 30th November, 2020. The order
secretariat. Chairman of the COI ret	ired Department filed in the Kaduwela	was made upon the request of the defense as
Supreme Court Judge, Upali Abeyratne	said Magistrate's Court be acquitted of all charges.	the prosecution evidence has failed to

that former Prime Minister Ranil	It also recommends that the indictment in the	establish the commission of the offences. <sup>36</sup>
Wickremesinghe had established an anti-	case filed in the High Court of Colombo be	
corruption committee in contravention of the	withdrawn by the Attorney General.	The CoI recommends the establishment of a
provisions of the Constitution. <sup>33</sup>		Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry
	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	to investigate and impose penalties on
Testifying before the PCoI, former PM Ranil	investigators, lawyers, activists and	respondents of the complaints against the
Wickremesinghe said that the 'Anti-	witnesses involved in the case be charged for	Anti-Corruption Committee.
		Accordingly, a Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry was established by Gazette No. 2212/53 of 29 January 2021. The SPCoI is mandated to further investigate and report on the respondents in the complaints before the CoI who were members of the Anti - Corruption Committee and to recommend whether a person should be subjected to a community disability according to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Faadhila Thassim, 'Political Victimisation during previous regime: Ranil's Anti-corruption Secretariat unconstitutional – PCoI Chair' (*Ceylon Today*, 14 July 2020) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;https://ceylontoday.lk/news/political-victimisation-during-previous-regime-ranil-s-anti-corruption-secretariat-unconstitutional-pcoi-chair> accessed 16 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Lakmal Sooriyagoda, 'Basil, three others acquitted from Divi Neguma case' (*DailyNews*, 1 December 2020) available at <u>http://dailynews.lk/2020/12/01/law-order/234916/basil-three-others-acquitted-divi-neguma-case</u> accessed 3 February 2021

organizations. <sup>34</sup>	provisions of Article 81 of the Constitution.
Following the statement, the CoI issued a notice to Secretary to the Prime Minister, Gamini Sedara Senarath to testify before the Commission regarding whether wages had been paid to office bearers of the 'Anti-Corruption Committee' which was set up by former Prime Minister Ranil Wickremesinghe. The Commission requested him to submit the documents and the names of the persons who have been paid. <sup>35</sup>	Speaking to the media after appearing before the CoI, former Minister Mangala Samaraweera said those who were noticed to appear at the Presidential Commission are the victims of political victimization. He said that in 2015, the government was given the mandate to probe fraud and corruption during the Rajapaksa administration and impose necessary punishment. <sup>37</sup>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Roshan Thushara and Dilshan Tharaka, 'Ranil testifies before PCoI on political victimization' (*Daily News*, 4 September 2020) available at
 <a href="http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/09/04/local/227861/ranil-testifies-pcoi-political-victimization">http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/09/04/local/227861/ranil-testifies-pcoi-political-victimization</a>> accessed 16 February 2021
 <sup>35</sup> Roshan Thushara and Dilshan Tharaka, 'Ranil testifies before PCoI on political victimization' (*Daily News*, 4 September 2020) available at
 <a href="http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/09/04/local/227861/ranil-testifies-pcoi-political-victimization">http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/09/04/local/227861/ranil-testifies-pcoi-political-victimization</a> accessed 16 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'Mangala says those noticed by PCoI are the victims of political victimization' (*NewsFirst*, September 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/08/21/mangala-says-those-noticed-by-pcoi-are-the-victims-of-political-victimization/amp/</u>> accessed 16 February 2021

5. Complaint against investigation 88/2020, 319/2020 and 816/2020 Complainants:	n of alleged financial irregularities in the Touris	m Development Authority - complaints no.
Satharasingheage Jayaweera		
W. Wimalasena		
Gunaratne Bashwara Senanka		
Former Chairman of the Sri Lanka	The CoI recommends that several persons accused	These recommendations if implemented
Tourism Development Authority	of alleged financial irregularities and	would undermine the independence of the
(SLTDA) Bhashwara Gunaratne and	misappropriation of public property in relation to	judiciary and the investigators.
former Director General Dr. D.S.	various projects and other activities within the	
Jayaweera were remanded in 2016 by	Tourism Development Authority should be	
the Colombo Fort Magistrate's Court.	acquitted of all charges filed against them in the	
	Fort Magistrate's Court. Moreover, the	
They were ordered to be remanded after	indictments in the cases filed in the High Court of	
appearing before the court in connection	Colombo against them should also be withdrawn.	
with a case filed regarding financial	The accused include the Director General,	
irregularities amounting to Rs 5.8	Chairman and the acting Financial Director of the	
million during tourism promotion	Tourism Development Authority.	
activities during the former		
government.	The CoI also recommends that the Members of	
	Parliament, investigators, government officials	
	and lawyers (in the list of respondents) be charged	

	for the offences of fabricating false evidence and corruption under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act respectively.	
6. Complaint against the investigat Complainant: Udaya Prabhath Gammanpila	tion of allegations against MP Udaya Gammanpila	- complaint no. 545/2020
In 2016, Minister Gammanpila was alleged to have cheated LKR20 million and misappropriated another LKR21 million along with Sydney Jayasinghe, the second accused when selling shares of Digital Nominees to a businessman called Dhammika Perera. The Former Attorney General Jayantha Jayasuriya charged that the alleged business transaction had taken place using a fraudulent power of attorney to sell shares belonging to Australian businessman Brian Shaddick. <sup>38</sup>	The CoI recommends that MP Udaya Gammanpila be acquitted of all charges filed against him in the Fort Magistrate's Court. Similarly, the indictment in the case in the High Court of Colombo should also be withdrawn. Additionally, the CoI recommends that the Members of Parliament, investigators and witnesses (including the said investor and his spouse) involved in the case be charged for the offences of fabricating evidence and corruption under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	These recommendations if implemented would undermine the independence of the judiciary and the investigators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Imesh Ranasinghe, 'Political victimisation commission releasing criminals - Vijitha Herath' (*Economy Next*, 27 January 2021) available at <<u>https://economynext.com/political-victimization-commission-releasing-criminals-vijitha-herath-78257/</u>> accessed 17 February 2021

<ul> <li>7. Complaint against the investiga complaint no. 1963/2020 and 196</li> <li>Complainant:</li> <li>Yoshitha Rajapakse</li> <li>Rohan Welivita</li> </ul>	tion into misappropriation of public property in la 4/2020	unching the Carlton Sports Network (CSN)-
The complainant said that he was	The CoI recommends that several persons charged	These recommendations, if implemented,
arrested and remanded for 45 days	in relation to allegations of financial fraud and	would undermine the independence of the
based on the false charges that financial	misappropriation of public property in launching	judiciary, the rule of law and the impartiality
irregularities and misappropriation of	the Carlton Sports Network (CSN) channel be	of the investigators.
public property have been committed	acquitted of all charges filed against them.	
by the Carlton Sports Network. He said that he was arrested illegally by the FCID based on a complaint made by the Anti-Corruption Committee even though he was not involved in CSN activities. Testifying before the CoI, Former MP Wijeyadasa Rajapakshe PC, alleged that former Minister Patali Champika Ranawaka and a few others exerted pressure on the Police Department to	Accordingly, the CoI recommends that Yoshitha Rajapakse should be acquitted of charges filed against him in the Kaduwela and Mount Lavinia Magistrate's Courts. Similarly, the indictment in the case in the High Court of Colombo should also be withdrawn. Similarly, the CoI recommends that the former Presidential Media Secretary (who was briefly a director at the CSN channel) be acquitted of all charges filed against him in the Kaduwela Magistrate's Court and that the indictment in the	

apprehend the second son of Prime Minister Mahinda Rajapaksa, Yoshitha Rajapaksa, before the Independence Day of 2016 with the concerted aim of derailing the political journey of Mahinda Rajapaksa. <sup>39</sup>	case in the High Court of Colombo should be withdrawn. The CoI also recommends that the respondents should be charged for offences of fabricating evidence and corruption under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act. The police officers involved in the case should also be charged for wrongful	
<ul> <li>8. Complaint against the investigat         <ul> <li>complaints no. 432/2020 and 433</li> </ul> </li> <li>Complainant:         <ul> <li>Nalaka Godahewa</li> <li>Dhammika Perera</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	confinement. ion into the alleged misappropriation of funds allo 3/2020	cated for the Tharunyata Hetak Organisation
against the complainants for misappropriation of Rs. 5 million	Colombo Chief Magistrate's Court and the withdrawal of indictment in the case filed against	would undermine the independence of the judiciary, the rule of law and the impartiality

<sup>39</sup> WK Prasad Manju, 'Champika conspired to get Yoshitha arrested – Wijeyadasa' (*Ceylon Today*, 11 June 2020) available at <<u>https://ceylontoday.lk/news/champika-conspired-to-get-yoshitha-arrested-wijeyadasa</u>> accessed 17 February 2021

		1
	CoI recommends that the Members of Parliament	
	and police/FCID officers involved in the case be	
	charged for the offences of false charges of offence	
	with intent to injure and corruption under the	
	Penal Code and the Bribery Act respectively.	
<ul> <li>9. Complaint against the investigat 619/2020 and 375/2020</li> <li>Complainants:</li> <li>Nishantha Asiri Fernando</li> <li>Kaminda Harshajith</li> </ul>	ion into import of sports equipment by the Co-oper	ative Establishment (Sathosa)-complaints no.
The charges relate to financial fraud	The CoI recommends the acquittal of two	These recommendations, if implemented,
during the import of sporting goods by	complainants of the charges filed against them in	would undermine the independence of the
the Co-operative Establishment.	Colombo Chief Magistrate's Court. The CoI also	judiciary, the rule of law and the impartiality
	recommends withdrawal of indictment in the case	of the investigators.
	filed in the High Court of Colombo against	
	Mahindananda Aluthgamage and Nalin	
	Fernando.	
	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the public	
	officers and investigators involved in the case be	
	charged for the offences of fabricating evidence	
	and corruption under the Penal Code and the	

	Bribery Act. Former Director of the Criminal Investigation Department and related officers are guilty of the offence of harboring an offender under the Penal Code.	
10. Complaint against the investigat	ion into the alleged deceitful registration of an elep	phant- complaint no. 04/2020
Complainant:		
Thilina Gamage		
The complainant was charged with the	The CoI recommends that the complainant (former	These recommendations, if implemented,
illegal possession of an elephant calf	Magistrate) be acquitted of all charges filed against	would interfere with on-going legal
named "Sakura", under 25 counts	him in the Nugegoda Magistrate's Court.	proceedings and undermine the
including possession of an elephant calf	Similarly, the indictment in the case in the High	independence of the judiciary and the rule of
without a license, conspiracy to tender	Court of Colombo should also be withdrawn.	law.
false documents and registration of the		
concerned elephant calf by producing	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	
forged documents.	respondents involved in the case be charged for	
	the offences of fabricating evidence and corruption	
The charges were filed for violating the	under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	
provisions of Fauna and Flora		
Ordinance and Public Property Act.		
The case was taken up on a complaint		

made by the then subject Minister Wasantha Senanayake. The elephant calf was then seized from the Magistrate's possession by the CID. <sup>40</sup>		
11. Complaint against the imprisonment of Duminda Silva- complaint no. 1969/2020 Complainant: Vincent Premalal Silva for Duminda Silva		
The complainant was charged with the	The CoI recommends that the Attorney-General	The case on the assassination of Bharatha
murder of former Presidential Advisor	should request a larger bench of the Supreme	Lakshman Premachandra was decided and
Bharatha Lakshman Premachandra. The	Court to review the guilty verdict and the death	determined by a High Court Trial at Bar <sup>41</sup> and
President issued a special gazette	penalty in relation to the case filed in High Court	the decision affirmed by the Supreme Court,
notification extending the term of the	of Colombo against the complainant Mr. Duminda	the highest court in Sri Lanka, on 11 October
Commission by 16 days specially to hear	Silva; in light of the new evidence presented before	2018. It is doubtful whether it can be referred
a complaint brought on behalf of former	the CoI.	to a Presidential Commission of Inquiry
MP Duminda Silva.	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	under these circumstances.
	respondents involved in the case be charged for	There is no precedent for a Presidential
	the offences of fabricating evidence and corruption	Commission of Inquiry to investigate, vacate
	under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act. The	or overturn judgments made by Sri Lanka's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> 'Indictments filed against Magistrate Thilina Gamage and four others' (*NewsFirst*, 20 July 2019) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2019/07/20/indictments-filed-against-magistrate-thilina-gamage-and-four-others/</u>> accessed 16 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Case No. HC 8331/16

	police officers involved in the case should also be	highest courts. If implemented, the
	charged for wrongful confinement.	recommendations of the CoI in this regard
		would gravely challenge the independence of
		the judiciary and the rule of law.
		Furthermore, the scope of the Commission's
		mandate extends only to complaints made by
		members of the tri-forces or public officials -
		namely government servants and members
		of the armed forces. Duminda Silva is neither
		a member of the armed forces nor a public
		servant. (Silva's complaint was filed by his
		father Lal Silva.) <sup>42</sup>
<ul> <li>12. Complaint against the suspension of DIG of the Northern Province for the charge of aiding the escape the prime suspect in the Vidya murder case- complaint no. 115/2020</li> <li>Complainant:</li> <li>DIG Lalith Jayasinghe</li> </ul>		
This complaint was filed by former DIG	The CoI recommends that the complainant Lalith	Chairman of the Presidential Commission of
of the Northern Province Lalith	Anuruddha Jayasinghe be acquitted of all charges	Inquiry Retired Supreme Court Judge Upali
Anuruddha Jayasinghe that he had been	filed against him in the Pelmadulla Magistrate's	Abeyratne said that it appears that there is no

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Medialk.com, 'Freedom to Duminda Silva : Presidential Commission dismisses a seven-judge ruling' available at <<u>https://medialk.com/english/2021/01/07/freedom-to-duminda-silva-presidential-commission-dismisses-a-seven-judge-ruling/</u>>

suspended for political revenge. He had	Court. Similarly, the indictment in the case in the	impediment to the reinstatement of Lalith
		-
been suspended on charges of	High Court of Colombo filed against him should	Anuruddha Jayasinghe. <sup>43</sup> The Chairman
harbouring and aiding and abetting the	also be withdrawn.	made this observation in August 2020, prior
escape of Mahalingam Sashikumar alias		to concluding the proceedings of the CoI. The
Swisskumar, the prime suspect in the	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	Attorney General's Department was also
abduction, rape and murder of a Jaffna	respondents involved in the case be charged for	instructed to consider the matter and state its
school girl Vidya.	the offences of fabricating evidence and corruption	legitimacy on the same day.
	under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	
He was also suspended for allegations		However, Additional Solicitor General
of biased and corrupt conduct during	The CoI recommends the immediate reinstatement	Rohantha Abeysuriya said there were legal
his office as the Senior DIG in charge of	of DIG Jayasinghe with payment of arrears salary.	impediments to his reinstatement. The
the Sabaragamuwa Province. The		Chairman of the Commission stated that
allegations pertain to several incidents		considering the request of Mr. Neomal
related to the 2015 election, and the		Rangajeeva who was suspended, and his
arrest of MP Premalal Jayasekara (who		reinstatement, not taking such action on Mr.
is a suspect in the Kahawatte shooting		Lalith Jayasinghe is problematic.
incident).		
		These statements by the Chairman exceed the
		already broad mandate of the CoI. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'No issue in re-instating interdicted SDIG Lalith Anuruddha; says PCoI on Political Victimization' (*NewsFirst.lk*, 29 August 2020) available at < <u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/08/29/no-issue-in-re-instating-interdicted-sdig-lalith-anuruddha-says-pcoi-on-political-victimization/</u>> accessed 2 April 2021
		recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the judiciary and the Attorney General's Department.
13. Complaint against the investigat Complainant:	ion into the abduction of journalist Lasantha Wick	rematunge's driver- complaint no. 238/2020
Military Intelligence Officer Prema Anan	da Udalgama	
The charges against the complainant are	The CoI recommends that the complainant	These recommendations, if implemented,
related to the abduction of Lasantha	(military intelligence officer Udalagama) be	would result in setbacks to a long-delayed
Wickrematunge's driver, who is a	acquitted of all charges filed against him in the	investigation and legal proceedings and
witness in the Lasantha Wickrematunge	Mount Lavinia and Gampaha Magistrate's Courts.	would deter police and legal officers from
murder case.	Similarly, the indictment in the case in the High	pursuing action in the future regarding cases
	Court of Gampaha filed against him should also be	which are considered to be politically
The complainant further claimed that	withdrawn.	sensitive.
during the investigation, IP Nishatha		
Silva suggested to him to admit that	The CoI further recommends that the respondents	
'The Intelligence Units assaulted	involved in the case be charged for the offences of	
journalists on the instructions of	fabricating evidence and corruption under the	
Gotabaya Rajapaksa.'44	Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'CID misled court over Lasantha Wickrematunge killing; Ex-Intelligence Officer' (*NewsFirst*, 28 October 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/10/28/cid-misled-court-over-lasantha-wickrematunge-killing-ex-intelligence-officer/</u>> accessed 17 February 2021

14. Complaint against the investigation into the assassination of former MP Joseph Pararajasingam- complaint no. 885/2020		
Complainant:	Complainant:	
Sivanesathurai Chandrakanthan alias Pillayan		
Chandrakanthan alias Pillayan - relate	acquitted of all charges filed against him in the Batticaloa Magistrate's Court. Similarly, the indictment in the case in the High Court of Batticaloa filed against him should also be	Inquiry into the assassination said that police investigations into the murder of the MP are 'unsatisfactory.' It said that "Police filed charges against two soldiers but later said
On an appeal from the Batticaloa High Court, the Court of Appeal ruled that the confessions of the two main suspects were inadmissible. The Attorney General's Department (AG) decided to drop the case against Pillayan and all suspects were acquitted and released.	withdrawn. Additionally, the CoI recommends that the respondents involved in the case be charged for the offences of fabricating evidence and corruption under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act. The police officers involved in the case should also be charged for wrongful confinement.	they did not have evidence." <sup>45</sup> Political influence on the police in investigations into politically sensitive matters is frequent in Sri Lanka. The targeting of investigators for engaging in their duties and filing criminal charges against them would further compromise the independence of the investigators.

aloint ensingt the investigation into the eccessination of former MD Joseph Deversionin

11 0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> 'MP murder probe 'inadequate' (*BBC Sinhala*, 16 June 2009) available at <<u>https://www.bbc.com/sinhala/news/story/2009/06/090616\_probe\_pararajasingham</u>> accessed 18 February 2021

15. Complaint against the investigation into the alleged misappropriation of funds allocated to the Sri Lankan Embassy in the United States- complaint no. 1116/2020 Complainant:		
Jaliya Wickramasuriya		
The charges against the complainant are related to misappropriation of public funds allocated for purchasing a building for the Sri Lankan embassy in the United States.	The CoI recommends that the complainant (Mr. Jaliya Wickramasuriya) be acquitted of all charges filed against him in the Fort Magistrate's Court. Additionally, the CoI recommends that the state take necessary measures to request the removal of the travel ban imposed on the complainant by the United States.	These recommendations, if implemented, would interfere with on-going legal proceedings and undermine the independence of the judiciary and the rule of law.
	The CoI also recommends that the respondents involved in the case be charged for the offences of fabricating evidence and corruption under the Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	

16. Complaint against the investigation into alleged financial irregularities of the Federation of Self-Employed- complaint no. 28/2020		
Complainant:		
Mahinda Kahandagamage		
The charges against the complainant	The CoI recommends that the complainant,	These recommendations, if implemented,
Mahinda Kahandagamage relate to	Mahinda Kahandagamage, be acquitted of all	would interfere with on-going legal
alleged financial irregularities of the	charges filed against him in the Colombo Chief	proceedings and undermine the
Federation of Self-Employed.	Magistrate's Court.	independence of the judiciary and the rule of
	The CoI also recommends that the respondents involved in the case be charged for the offences of	law.
	fabricating evidence and corruption under the	
	Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	
17. Complaints against the actions taken against several employees of Sri Lanka Broadcasting Corporation (SLBC)/ Rupavahini Corporation (SLRC)- complaints no. 1091/2020, 1092/2020, 1131/2020, 141/2020 Complainants:		
Chandrapala Liyanage		
Sanath Priyantha Daluwatte		
Wimalasena Rubasinghe		
Complainants include the Director	With regards to complaints made by several	These recommendations, if implemented,
General of the SLBC Mr. Chandrapala	persons linked with the Sri Lanka Broadcasting	may have the effect of intimidating those who
Liyanage, SLRC Chairman Wimalasena	Corporation (SLBC)/ Rupavahini Corporation, the	were involved in filing complaints at the

Rubasinghe and several employees.	CoI has recommended that the respondents	Commission to Investigate Bribery or
Complainants have been accused of	involved in the case be charged for the offence of	Corruption against the complainants.
financial and other offences.	corruption under the Bribery Act.	
18. Complaint against the investigat	tion into the Avant Garde controversy- complaints i	no. 402/2020, 206/2020 and 50/2020
Complainants:		
Samanasiri Dissanayke		
Damayanthi Jayaratne		
Nissanka Senadhipathi		
This complaint was filed by Avant	The CoI established that the Navy Commander	MP Anura Kumara Dissanayake and SSP
Garde Maritime Services Ltd. Chairman	Ravindra Wijegunawardena should be charged for	Shani Abeysekara filed two writ petitions in
Maj. (Rtd.) Nissanka Senadhipathi	the offence of abetting mutiny in relation to the	the Court of Appeal seeking notice of COI on
claiming that his group of companies	incident of taking over MV Avant Garde vessel.	Political Victimization be invalidated. They
had incurred heavy losses due to		claim that COI is only vested with powers to
arbitrary and politically motivated	The CoI recommends that several complainants -	investigate complaints made by public
decisions taken by the Yahapalana	including Nissanka Senadhipathi (Chairman of	servants on incidents of political
Government. <sup>46</sup>	Avant Garde) be acquitted of all charges against	victimization during the former
	them in cases filed in the Galle Magistrate's Court.	
CoI Chair Upali Abeyratne asserted that	Similarly, the indictments in the cases in the High	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Skandha Gunasekara, 'Presidential Commission on political victimisation: Wijeyadasa exposes Yahapalana ministers' (*The Morning*, 18 June 2020) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt; <u>http://www.themorning.lk/presidential-commission-on-political-victimisation-wijeyadasa-exposes-yahapalana-ministers/</u> > accessed 18 February 2021

COI has decided that the complaint of	Court of Colombo should also be withdrawn.	government. <sup>50</sup>
Avant Garde Chairman is within its		
mandate, despite Chairman Maj. (Rtd.)	The CoI also recommends that the respondents	COI gave undertaking to the Court of Appeal
Nissanka Senadhipathi not being a	involved in the case (including former Admiral	not to summon MP Anura Kumara
public officer.47	Ravindra Wijegunaratne, SSP Shani Abeysekara,	Dissanayake and SSP Shani Abeysekara
	MP Anura Kumara Dissanayake and others) be	before the Commission re complaint made by
Testifying before the CoI, MP Wijeydasa	charged for the offences of fabricating evidence	Avant Garde Chairman. This undertaking is
Rajapakshe alleged that officials of the	and corruption under the Penal Code and the	effective until the Court of Appeal makes an
Attorney General (AG)'s Department	Bribery Act. The police officers involved in the case	appropriate order regarding writ petitions. <sup>51</sup>
had been part of 'this collusion to settle	should also be charged for wrongful confinement.	The CoI may have acted outside its legal
political scores with the Rajapkses' as		mandate in considering this complaint. The
well. <sup>48</sup>		Attorney General has previously noted that
Senior State Counsel Janaka Bandara		since the CoI was appointed to look into
was named as a respondent in the		alleged political victimization of public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Skandha Gunasekara, 'PCoI on political victimisation says Nissanka's complaint within mandate' (*The Morning*, 24 June 2020) available at <<u>http://www.themorning.lk/pcoi-on-political-victimisation-says-nissankas-complaint-within-mandate/</u>> accessed 18 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Skandha Gunasekara, 'Presidential Commission on political victimisation: Wijeyadasa exposes Yahapalana ministers' (*The Morning*, 18 June 2020) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt; <u>http://www.themorning.lk/presidential-commission-on-political-victimisation-wijeyadasa-exposes-yahapalana-ministers/</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'PCoI has no power to examine Avant-Garde Complaint, says AKD and Shani A.' (*News First*, 13 July 2020) available at <<u>http://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/07/13/pcoi-has-no-power-to-examine-avant-garde-complaint-says-akd-and-shani-a/</u>> accessed 18 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'PCoI agrees not to summon three witnesses until petitions are heard' (*News First*, 27 July 2020) available at <a href="https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/07/27/pcoi-agrees-not-to-summon-three-witnesses-until-petitions-are-heard/">https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/07/27/pcoi-agrees-not-to-summon-three-witnesses-until-petitions-are-heard/</a>

complaint. AG raised these objections in	officers, employees of state corporations,
terms of Section 16 of the Commissions	members of armed forces and the police,
of Inquiry Act and stated that PCoI is	Chairman Maj. (Rtd.) Nissanka Senadhipathi
not empowered to review	has no legal standing before the CoI.52
any decision of the AG and it is also	
contrary to the law to issue summons to	The recommendations of the CoI, if
his officers to appear before the PCoI.	implemented, would undermine the
In his letter, the AG said that the PCoI	independence of the officers of the Attorney
was appointed to look into alleged	General's Department as well as the
political victimization of public officers,	investigators.
employees of state corporations,	
members of armed forces and police.	
AG further said that Avant Garde	
Chairman Nissanka Yapa Senadhipathi	
who led a complaint has no legal	
standing. <sup>49</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Dilshan Tharaka, 'AG's officials will not participate' (Daily News, 23 June 2020) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;<u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/06/23/local/221355/ag%E2%80%99s-officials-will-not-participate</u>> accessed 19 February 2021 <sup>52</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'PCoI cannot investigate Attorney General or his officers: AG's Department' (*News First,* 22 June 2020) available at < https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/06/22/pcoi-cannot-investigate-attorney-general-or-his-officers-ags-department/> accessed 2 April 2021

19. Complaint against the investigation into the Rakna Araksha Lanka company- complaints no. 1807/2020 and 431/2020 Complainants:		
Rtd. Major General Palitha Piyasiri Fernando		
Victor Samaraweera	Victor Samaraweera	
The complainants were investigated in	With regards to the complaints by several persons	The Permanent High Court of Colombo
relation to the Rakna Araksha Lanka	linked to Rakna Lanka, including the former	released retired Major General Fernando and
Ltd. The investigation revealed that	Chairmen Retired Major General Palitha Piyasiri	four others from the charges against them in
Avant Garde Maritime Services Ltd has	Fernando and Victor Samaraweera, the CoI	relation to the Avant Garde case in January
misused resources of the Rakna	recommends that the respondents involved in	2020. The Attorney General was informed to
Araksha Lanka Ltd. In September 2019,	these cases be charged for the offences of	consider if he would proceed with the case
the High Court of Colombo later	fabricating evidence and corruption under the	against 8 accused, including Victor
recalled the warrant issued against	Penal Code and the Bribery Act.	Samaraweera. <sup>54</sup>
retired Major General Fernando and		
released the passports to the sureties. <sup>53</sup>	The CoI further recommends that complainant	
	Victor Samraweera be acquitted of all charges filed	
	against him in the Galle Magistrate's Court.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> T Farook Thajudeen, 'High Court recalls the warrant issued to arrest Palitha Fernando' (*Daily Mirror*, 5 September 2019) available at <<u>http://www.dailymirror.lk/news/High-Court-recalls-the-warrant-issued-to-arrest-Palitha-Fernando/239-174037</u>> accessed 19 February 2021
<sup>54</sup> '5 released from the Avant Garde case' (*News First*, 17 January 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/01/17/5-released-from-the-avant-garde-case/</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

## 20. Complaint against the investigation into alleged misappropriation of public funds by former Minister Rohitha Bogollagamacomplaint no. 184/2020

## **Complainant:**

Rohitha Bogollagama

The Bribery Commission filed	The CoI recommends that the indictment filed	These recommendations, if implemented,
indictments against former Enterprise	against former Minister Rohitha Bogollagama be	would interfere with on-going legal
Development and Investment	withdrawn and the facts of the case be	proceedings and undermine the
Promotion Minister Rohitha	reconsidered by an independent commission.	independence of the judiciary and the public
Bogollagama in the Colombo High	Moreover, the CoI recommends that necessary	service. CPA finds that the appointment of
Court over corruption charges relating	disciplinary action should be taken against former	yet another CoI is a delaying tactic, as it is
to excessive spending in 2006. The	Solicitor General Dilrukshi Dias Wickramasinghe	unlikely to lead to an independent process
charges against him concerns illegally	by the Public Service Commission.	and outcomes in light of the criticisms against
giving approval to release Rs.1,170,444		previous CoIs.
as hotel accommodation costs for an		In February 2021, former Solicitor General
investment promotion exhibition held		Dilrukshi Dias Wickremasinghe filed a writ
in London and influencing the BOI		petition in the Court of Appeal seeking an
Chairman to release Rs. 414,000 for the		order directing the Public Service
exhibition. <sup>55</sup>		Commission to reinstate her in service. <sup>56</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>Lakmal Sooriyagoda, 'Bogollagama indicted for excessive spending in 2006' (*Daily News*, 26 February 2020) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;https://www.dailynews.lk/2020/02/26/law-order/212615/bogollagama-indicted-excessive-spending-2006> accessed 19 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Lakmal Sooriyagoda, 'Dilrukshi Dias files writ petition calling for reinstatement as Solicitor General' (*Daily News*, 25 February 2021) available at < <u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2021/02/25/law-order/242518/dilrukshi-dias-files-writ-petition-calling-reinstatement-solicitor</u>> accessed 6 April 2021

## 21. Complaint against the investigation into Welikada prison massacre- complaints no. 07/2020 and 12/2020 **Complainants:** Moses Neomal Rangajeewa Lamahewage Emil Ranjan This case concerns the violence at the The CoI asserts that the charges against Moses IP Rangajeewa had been reinstated in 2018 Neomal Rangajeewa and Emil Ranjan filed in the Welikada prison which killed 27 following an order by then IGP during the prisoners while 43 were injured. It later High Court in relation to the 2012 Welikada prison constitutional crisis in late 2018. Following came to light that this was a coordinated massacre are a result of political revenge. The CoI his reinstatement, he has posted threatening operation to eliminate certain identified recommends that the Attorney General should messages on social media targeting the prisoners. Previous investigations into therefore consider the findings of the Commission witnesses of the Welikada prison massacre the massacre were obstructed due to report and make a decision regarding the on-going case. The Committee to Protect Rights of political influence. Investigation by the Prisoners stated its intention to file a petition case. Human Rights Commission of Sri with the National Police Commission against Lanka. In 2012, then Chairman of the The CoI recommends that the complainants be the reinstatement of IP Rangajeewa.58 Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka reinstated with all promotions due to them. It also told the media that the HRCSL's recommends legal action to be taken against the This case has been marked by extensive investigation was put on hold based on respondents for fabricating evidence. intimidation of victims and witnesses, a request by the Commissioner General including the imprisonment on false charges of relatives of victims. The recommendations of Prisons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Maneshka Borham, 'Reinstatement of IP Rangajeewa: Committee to Protect Rights of Prisoners to file petition' (*Sunday Observer*, 25 November 2018)

		1
Commissions were appointed by then		of the CoI, if implemented, could potentially
Minister of Rehabilitation and Prison		endanger these victims and witnesses
Reforms Chandrasiri Gajadeera and		further.
then Commissioner General of Prisons,		
P.W. Kodippili. The reports of these		It would also undermine the rule of law and
Commissions have not been made		independence of the judiciary and obstruct
public. Opposition MPs raised concerns		the only chance for an independent
about the independence of these		investigation and prosecution into the
investigations in Parliament, saying that		massacre.
while the police and HRCSL were under		
executive influence no independent		
investigation into the matter could be		
conducted. <sup>57</sup>		
22. Complaint against the investigat	ion regarding a container belonging to the former A	mbassador to Russia- complaint no.1081/2020
Complainants:		
Udayanga Weeratunga		
A container of goods belonging to	The CoI recommends holding of inquiries on the	These recommendations, if implemented,
former Russian Ambassador Udayanga	former Secretary of the Ministry on Foreign Affairs	would interfere with an on-going

Weeratunga was handed over to the Chitrangani Wagiswara and the former Senior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Parliament of Sri Lanka, Hansard 19.12.2012 <u>https://www.parliament.lk/uploads/documents/hansard/PUBDOC4234\_document.pdf</u> at pg 104

FCID for investigation. Spokesperson	Executive General C. A. H. M. Wijeratne with	investigation conducted <sup>64</sup> by the Financial
for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs stated	regards to the transportation of furniture	Crimes Investigation Division (FCID).
that the container was not claimed by	belonging to the family of the former Ambassador	
the former Ambassador and no one has	to Russia and presenting false information to the	
been authorized to claim it on his	Fort Magistrate's Court respectively.	
behalf.59 She further stated that the		
goods cannot be released until the former Ambassador hands over his diplomatic passport to the Ministry. <sup>60</sup>	The CoI further recommends that the container in the Colombo port should be released.	
The former Ambassador had submitted		
evidence to the Commission claiming		
that the documentation authorizing his		
spouse to receive the container was sent		
to the Ministry. Moreover, upon the		
cancellation of his diplomatic passport,		
he had received a new passport.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> 'Update: A court order to inspect container belonging to former Russian Ambassador Udayanga Weerathunga' (*HiruNews.lk*, 6 July 2015) available at <<u>https://www.hirunews.lk/english/112724/update-court-order-to-inspect-container-belonging-former-russian-ambassador-udayanga-weerathunga</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Lakna Paranamanna, 'Udayanga's container stuck without passport: MFA' (Daily Mirror, 6 July 2015) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;<u>http://www.dailymirror.lk/Breaking-News/udayanga-s-container-stuck-without-passport-mfa/108-78533</u> > accessed 19 February 2021 <sup>64</sup> 'Update: A court order to inspect container belonging to former Russian Ambassador Udayanga Weerathunga' (*HiruNews.lk*, 6 July 2015) available at <<u>https://www.hirunews.lk/english/112724/update-court-order-to-inspect-container-belonging-former-russian-ambassadorudayanga-weerathunga</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

In June 2017, the Fort Magistrate's court
ordered the suspension of his
diplomatic passport and another
passport, as he was under investigation
for allegations of money laundering
over the MiG deal.61 He was later
arrested in Dubai, extradited and
remanded upon his return to Sri Lanka.
In April 2020, he was granted bail by the
Fort Magistrate's Court. <sup>62</sup> He also
denied involvement in the death of Mr.
Noel Ranaweera, a staffer at the Sri
Lankan embassy in Russia. During a
media conference held at the new
Russian Embassy, Alexander Karchava,
the Russian Ambassador to Sri Lanka,
stated that a Russian citizen was
convicted of the murder and is currently

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> 'Udayanga Weeratunga's passports suspended' (*Sri Lanka Mirror*, 30 June 2017) available at <<u>https://srilankamirror.com/news/3719-udayanga-weeratunga-s-passports-suspended</u>> accessed 19 February 2021
 <sup>62</sup> 'Udayanga Weerathunga granted bail' (*News First*, 3 April 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/04/03/udayanga-weerathunga-</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> 'Udayanga Weerathunga granted bail' (*News First*, 3 April 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/04/03/udayanga-weerathunga-granted-bail/</u> > accessed 19 February 2021

serving a jail term. <sup>63</sup>		
23. Complaint against the investigation regarding the MiG aircraft deal with Ukraine- complaint no.1080/2020 Complainants: Udayanga Weeratunga		
The former Ambassador was investigated by the FCID. He was later	The CoI recommends that the Attorney General intervene and discontinue pursuing the case filed	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the
arrested in Dubai, extradited and	in the Fort Magistrate's Court in relation to the financial irregularities in the MiG deal (purchase	judiciary, the Attorney's General Department and the investigators.
Lanka due to allegations of financial		
from Ukraine. An INTERPOL red notice	freeze bank accounts of former Ambassador	
was issued for his arrest and the bank accounts under his name were frozen.	Udayanga Weeratunga. The CoI also recommends taking disciplinary action against Police Inspector Nihal Francis for	
In April 2020, he was granted bail by the Fort Magistrate's Court. <sup>65</sup>	his role in obtaining the INTERPOL red notice by allegedly presenting false claims against the former Ambassador.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Chathuri Dissanayake, 'Russia looking to strengthen relations with Sri Lanka' (*DailyFT*, 6 December 2016) available at < <u>http://www.ft.lk/article/584251/Russia-looking-to-strengthen-relations-with-Sri-Lanka</u>> accessed 2 April 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> 'Udayanga Weerathunga granted bail' (*News First*, 3 April 2020) available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/04/03/udayanga-weerathunga-granted-bail/</u> > accessed 19 February 2021

<ul> <li>24. Complaint against the investigation regarding the death of a suspect in Chunnakam Police custody- complaints no. 650/2020, 34/2020,269/2020 and 403/2020</li> <li>Complainants:</li> <li>Gnanalingam Dhaneshvari (on behalf of Gnanalingam Mayuran) and others</li> </ul>		
Constable Gnanalingam Mayuran and several other police officers of the Chunnakam police were imprisoned for 10 years for charges of torture of suspects under the Convention of Torture and other Cruel, Inhumane or Degrading Treatment or Punishment Act No 22 of 1994, following a conviction by the High Court of Jaffna in 2017. Mayuran was arrested in connection with an investigation into the drowning of an individual who had been arrested by the Chunnakam Police for a robbery, and had drowned in his attempt to flee. <sup>66</sup>	The CoI recommends that the proceedings of the appeal filed at the Court of Appeal with regards to the guilty verdict of the High Court of Jaffna should be expedited. The CoI also recommends reconsidering the legal status of the case or transferring the case to another High Court. The CoI notes that there could be possibility of bias since charges were filed with regards to the same incident for the second time after a significant delay.	would undermine the independence of the judiciary and the investigators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Faadhila Thassim, 'Video on Vijayakala assisting Swiss Kumar played at PCoI hearing' (*Ceylon Today*, 18 September 2020) available at < <u>https://ceylontoday.lk/news/video-on-vijayakala-assisting-swiss-kumar-played-at-pcoi-hearing</u>> accessed 2 April 2021

The Chairman of the CoI Upali
Abeyratne asserted that, although the
Mallakam Magistrate's Court held that
the death of the arrestee was a result of
inhumane assault by Police officers, the
post-mortem revealed that the death
took place due to drowning and only
minor injuries were sustained by the
arrestee. The Chairman further asserted
that the Attorney General had the
responsibility to investigate into these
contradictions. <sup>67</sup>
The complainant claimed that the
charges are a result of political revenge
against Police Inspector Chinthaka
Bandara and Constable Mayuran by the
former State Minister Vijayakala
Maheswaran and Kumaran
Sarvananda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Faadhila Thassim, 'Video on Vijayakala assisting Swiss Kumar played at PCoI hearing' (*Ceylon Today*, 18 September 2020) available at < <u>https://ceylontoday.lk/news/video-on-vijayakala-assisting-swiss-kumar-played-at-pcoi-hearing</u>> accessed 2 April 2021

25. Complaint against the investigation into Mr. Lalith Sepala Ratnayake- complaint no.1842/2020		
Complainants:		
Lalith Sepala Ratnayake		
Mr. Sepala Ratnayake held a Minister post at the Sri Lanka High Commission in London, was a Senior State Counsel and held the post of Senior Assistant Secretary to the President. He has submitted evidence claiming that the term of his diplomatic post was prematurely concluded by a letter issued by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, without citing reasons. He further claims that an act of political victimization has been committed against him by linking him to an incident of a domestic dispute in Polonnaruwa, which has been investigated by the Children and Women Bureau. The case filed with regards to this incident in the Colombo	against retired Senior DIG S.M. Wickramasinghe and his team for their involvement in allegedly reporting false facts to the Colombo Magistrate's Court in order to exact political revenge from Sepala Ratnayake and others. The CoI also recommends that Sepala Ratnayake should be instated as a senior state counsel according to the provisions in Article 41 of the Constitution.	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the police investigations and impact such processes in the future.

Magistrate's Court was later withdrawn, in addition to the travel ban and the arrest warrant issued against Mr. Ratnayake. <b>26. Complaint against the investigat</b> <b>Complainants:</b> Kumudumal Pelpitiya	tion into an employee at the Ceylon Fishery Harbou	urs Corporation- complaint no.427/2020
A complaint was filed at the CID against Mr. Kumudumal Pelpitiya (who was an employee at the Ceylon Fishery Harbours Corporation) with regards to issues with the Negombo lagoon development project and the printing of diaries.	directed by a Commission of Inquiry appointed by the former President, the present CoI has decided that making recommendations related to the said commission is unauthorized.	CPA has no further comments as the CoI has not made recommendations regarding this complaint.
27. Complaint against the investigation into the death of Wasim Thajudeen and misuse of state vehicles- complaint no.1070/2020 Complainants: Captain Tissa Wimalasena		
Captain Wimalasena was a security officer for the former President Mahinda Rajapaksa and his family members. He had been questioned and	The CoI recommends that the Attorney General intervene and report to the Colombo Magistrate's Court that proceedings of the case filed against Tissa Wimalasena should no longer be continued.	These recommendations, if implemented, would interfere with the investigations and legal proceedings of an on-going case. It would therefore undermine the

investigated by the CID on various	The CoI further recommends that disciplinary	independence of the judiciary and impact
occasions in relation to the investigation	actions should be taken by the Police Service	investigations.
of the murder of Wasim Thajudeen and	Commission against Police Inspector Premathilake	
the misuse of state vehicles. He was	and another police officer for allegedly attempting	
arrested in June, 2017 by the FCID.68	to obtain false statements to fabricate evidence	
	against the complainant.	
28. Complaint against the investigat	tion into Adcore Creative Pvt Ltd Director Kumara	Jayakantha- complaint no.1940/2020
Complainants:		
Kumara Jayakantha		
Kumara Jayakantha has rendered	The CoI recommends that action should be taken	The CoI has interpreted its mandate loosely
advertising services in relation to the	by the Treasury to compensate Adcore Creative	to include the complainant, who is a private
Co-operative Wholesale Establishment,	Pvt. Ltd. Director Kumara Jayakantha for the	citizen.
Polgolla Project and the Mahapola	advertising services rendered in relation to Co-	
project. His complaint claimed that the	operative Wholesale Establishment, Polgolla	
government appointed in 2015 has not	Project and the Mahapola project.	
compensated him for his services. A		
case has been filed against the		
complainant and his spouse in the		
Colombo Magistrate's Court by the		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> FCID Arrests Mahinda Rajapaksa's Driver Captain Tissa' (*Colombo Telegraph*, 14 June 2017) available at <<u>https://www.colombotelegraph.com/index.php/fcid-arrests-mahinda-rajapaksas-driver-captain-tissa/</u> > accessed 19 February 2021

Inland Revenue Department due to		
their failure to pay VAT and NBT.		
The complainant also alleges that he		
was called in to give statements to the		
FCID and the Bribery Commission,		
where he had been asked to make false		
statements.		
29. Complaint against the transfer o	f Chief Inspector Thissa Pilapitiya from the Briber	y Commission- complaint no.77/2020
Complainants:		
Chief Inspector Thissa Pilapitiya		
The Chief Inspector alleges that the	The CoI recommends that the Inspector General of	These recommendations, if implemented,
reason for his transfer from the	Police should consider recommending to the	would undermine the independence of the
Commission to Investigate Allegations	Police Service Commission the promotion of the	investigators.
of Bribery and Corruption was because	complainant Chief Inspector Pilapitiya, with due	
he did not cooperate in fabricating	regard given to his period of service.	In February 2021, former Solicitor General
evidence against President Gotabaya		Dilrukshi Dias Wickremasinghe filed a writ
Rajapaksa during the investigation into	The CoI also recommends that disciplinary action	petition in the Court of Appeal seeking an
the Avant Garde case.	be taken by the Public Service Commission against	order directing the Public Service
	Mrs. Dilrukshi Dias Wickramasinghe (Director	
	General of the Commission to Investigate	

	Allegations of Bribery and Corruption) for allegations of abetting police officers to fabricate evidence in order to bring corruption charges against President Gotabaya Rajapaksa.	Commission to reinstate her in service. <sup>69</sup>
<b>30. Complaint against the investigat</b> <b>Complainants:</b> Malani Kumari	ion into a former employee of the Employees Trus	t Fund Board- complaint no.18/2020
the Employees Trust Fund Board and later became a part of the President's staff (from late 2013 – 2015) under	The CoI recommends the Attorney General consider whether to continue the proceedings of the indictment against the complainant. The CoI further recommends the appointment and promotion of Malani Kumari at the Employees Trust Fund Board. The CoI recommends taking disciplinary action against Deputy Police Inspector Bandara for	would undermine the independence of the judiciary and the public service.
	undue influence during the investigation regarding the complainant.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Lakmal Sooriyagoda, 'Dilrukshi Dias files writ petition calling for reinstatement as Solicitor General' (*Daily News*, 25 February 2021) available at < <u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2021/02/25/law-order/242518/dilrukshi-dias-files-writ-petition-calling-reinstatement-solicitor</u>> accessed 6 April 2021

<b>31. Complaint against the investigation into the National Lottery Board (NLB) Chairman- complaint no.1941/2020</b> <b>Complainants:</b> Chaminda Pushpakumara Athuluwage		
The allegations against the NLB Chairman are concerned with criminal misuse of funds and breach of trust regarding his decision to set up billboards (for sales promotion) which were beneficial to a Presidential candidate. The CoI asserted that this allegation was motivated by political victimization.	The CoI recommends that the Attorney General intervene to consider not taking further action in the Magistrate's Court case filed against National Lottery Board Chairman Chaminda Pushpakumara Athuluwage.	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the judiciary and cause undue influence on the functions of the Attorney General.
32. Complaint against the suspension of a Ceylon Petroleum Corporation employee- complaint no.317/2020Complainants: Chaminda SamarakoonThe complainant stated that he was suspended from his post of 2/3 gradeThe Col recommends reinstating the complainant in the 2/3 grade (Retail) Sales Manager post of the Petroleum Corporation. He should also be eligible public service.These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the public service.Corporation, after the new government came into power in 2015. He had beenFor the promotions he was entitled to during the period between suspension and reinstatement.Implemented implemented 		

accused of corruption, misuse of		
Petroleum Corporation funds on the		
presidential election campaign and		
giving advertisements to a super motor		
cross tournament. <sup>70</sup>		
He also made several allegations of		
irregularities against Minister Patali		
Champika Ranawaka and several		
Petroleum Corporation officials.		
		•
33. Complaint by former School Print	ncipal Upali Gunasekara regarding his transfer and	l retirement- complaint no. 856/2020
33. Complaint by former School Print Complainants:	ncipal Upali Gunasekara regarding his transfer and	l retirement- complaint no. 856/2020
	ncipal Upali Gunasekara regarding his transfer and	l retirement- complaint no. 856/2020
Complainants:	ncipal Upali Gunasekara regarding his transfer and As his early retirement at age 55 was a result of	
<b>Complainants:</b> Upali Gunasekera		These recommendations, if implemented,
Complainants: Upali Gunasekera Retired Principal Upali Gunasekara	As his early retirement at age 55 was a result of political victimization, the CoI recommends that	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the
Complainants: Upali Gunasekera Retired Principal Upali Gunasekara alleges that his transfer from the post of	As his early retirement at age 55 was a result of political victimization, the CoI recommends that	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the
Complainants: Upali Gunasekera Retired Principal Upali Gunasekara alleges that his transfer from the post of Principal of Royal College was a result	As his early retirement at age 55 was a result of political victimization, the CoI recommends that Upali Gunasekara should be reinstated into the	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the
Complainants: Upali Gunasekera Retired Principal Upali Gunasekara alleges that his transfer from the post of Principal of Royal College was a result of political victimization against him.	As his early retirement at age 55 was a result of political victimization, the CoI recommends that Upali Gunasekara should be reinstated into the position of the Principal of Royal College for a	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> 'CPC suspends its sales manager' (*Sri Lanka Mirror*, 11 January 2017) available at <<u>https://srilankamirror.com/news/1369-cpc-suspends-its-sales-manager</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

34. Complaint against the accusation of carrying a firearm into SLFP Hambantota Committee meeting- complaint no. 893/2020		
Complainants:		
M.S.P. Mallawage		
The accusation against the complainant	The Additional Solicitor General has informed the	These recommendations, if implemented,
is allowing Corporal Ruwan Senaka,	Commission that proceedings against the	would undermine the independence of the
who was part of MP Namal Rajapaksa's	complainant filed in the Angunakolapelessa	investigators.
security team, to enter the premises of a	Magistrate's Court had been concluded. The CoI	
SLFP Hambantota Committee meeting	notes that its recommendation requesting the	
carrying a firearm. The meeting was	Attorney General to re-consider continuing with	
attended by former President	the case has already been followed.	
Maithripala Sirisena.		
	The CoI also asserts that the accusation is baseless	
	and is a result of political victimization since the	
	Corporal was not carrying a firearm.	
	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the	
	investigators involved in the case be charged for	
	the offence of fabricating evidence.	

<b>35. Complaint against the transfer of Department of Government Information official- complaint no. 22/2020</b> <b>Complainants:</b> Kapila Ariyawansa		
Kapila Ariyawansa alleges that his transfer from the Department of Government Information was politically motivated as he was involved in the printing of documents on the Divi Neguma project, and due to his refusal to fabricate evidence against Mr. Basil Rajapaksa during an investigation by the FCID.	The CoI recommends reinstating Mr. Kapila Ariyawansa in the position of Media Director of the Department of Government Information and be given the entitlements that are due to him.	These recommendations, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the public service. The CoI recommendations may bypass established mechanisms by the Public Service Commission in relation to transferring public servants.
<ul> <li>36. Complaint against dismissal of OIC Sumith Perera, linked to the investigation into the death of Wasim Thajudeen- complaint no. 615/2020</li> <li>Complainants:</li> <li>Sumith Perera</li> </ul>		
OIC Perera had been in custody for allegedly concealing evidence during the investigation into the murder of Wasim Thajudeen. He was	The CoI asserts that the investigation into the alleged murder of Wasim Thajudeen is a result of an act of political victimization by the previous government against family members of former President Mahinda Rajapaksa. The death of	These recommendations, if implemented, would interfere with the investigations and legal proceedings of an on-going case. It would therefore undermine the independence of the judiciary and the

subsequently cleared of all charges. <sup>71</sup>	Wasim Thajudeen is said to have been caused by a	investigators. The findings of the CoI are also
	traffic accident due to driving under the influence	incompatible with the findings of the second
He alleges that during the CID investigation, he was asked by several officers to make false statements implicating the former Defence Secretary Gotabaya Rajapaksa and MP Namal Rajapaksa for interfering with the investigation.	of alcohol. The CoI recommends reinstating OIC Sumith Perera who was arrested and remanded (and dismissed from service), as he was linked to the investigation into the alleged murder. CoI further recommends taking necessary legal action against respondents (former Inspector of Police Pujith Jayasundara and former CID Director Nagahamulla) for allegedly fabricating evidence.	post mortem, which revealed that Thajudeen had succumbed to injuries during a brutal assault. <sup>72</sup> The recommendations may also have the chilling effect of deterring investigators from taking necessary action due to fear of reprisal.
<b>37. Complaint against dismissal of I</b> <b>Complainants:</b> Indika Sampath	Prison Officer Indika Sampath linked to Welikada	prison riot in 2012- complaint no. 43/2020
The Welikada prison riot in 2012 led to 27 prisoners being killed while 43 were injured. It later came to light that this	CoI recommends the reinstatement of prison officer Indika Sampath.	This case has been marked by extensive intimidation of victims and witnesses, including the imprisonment on false charges

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Auragi Singh and Aanya Wipulasena, 'The Thajudeen saga: Murder cover-up trial set to begin in October' available at <<u>http://www.sundayobserver.lk/2019/07/21/thajudeen-saga-murder-cover-trial-set-begin-october</u>>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Verite Research, The Thajudeen saga: Murder cover-up trial set to begin in October' available at '

<sup>&</sup>lt;https://www.veriteresearch.org/2019/07/22/wasim-thajudeen-murder/>

was a coordinated operation to eliminate certain identified prisoners. Previous investigations into the massacro ware obstructed due to	of relatives of victims. The recommendations of the CoI, if implemented, could potentially endanger these victims and witnesses further
<ul> <li>massacre were obstructed due to political influence.</li> <li>The Attorney General filed indictments against Moses Rangajeewa,</li> <li>Lamahewage Emil Rajan and Indika Sampath. Prison officer Indika Sampath</li> <li>absconded during the court proceedings,<sup>73</sup> and was later acquitted by the High Court of Colombo due to insufficient evidence.<sup>74</sup></li> </ul>	further. It would also undermine the rule of law and obstruct the only chance for an independent investigation and prosecution into the massacre.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Farook Thajudeen, 'Welikada Prison Massacre Indictment served and accused released on bail' (*DailyFT*, 19 July 2019) available at
 <<u>http://www.ft.lk/News/Welikada-Prison-Massacre-Indictment-served-and-accused-released-on-bail/56-682259</u> > accessed 19 February 2021
 <sup>74</sup> Dilshan Tharaka, 'Colombo HC Trial-at-Bar acquits third accused in Welikada Prison riots' (*Daily News*, 16 July 2020) available at
 <<u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2020/07/16/law-order/223448/colombo-hc-trial-bar-acquits-third-accused-welikada-prison-riots</u> > accessed 19 February 2021
 February 2021

## 38. Complaint against investigation into smuggled gold released to the Navy- complaint no. 325/2020 and 326/2020 Complainants:

Jagath Premalal Wijeweera Tharaka Seneviratne

The complainants (former Customs	CoI recommends that the complainants Tharaka	These recommendations, if implemented,
Director General Jagath Wijeweera and	Seneviratne and Jagath Premalal Wijeweera be	would undermine the independence of the
former Customs Additional Director	cleared of charges filed against them in the	judiciary and investigations.
General Tharaka Seneviratne) are	Colombo Magistrate's Court. Additionally, the CoI	The appointment of a Special Presidential
accused of criminal breach of trust	recommends that the respondents involved in the	Commission of Inquiry to implement
regarding the unlawful release of 8	case be charged for the offences of fabricating	recommendations of the Presidential
kilograms of smuggled gold to the Navy	evidence and corruption under the Penal Code	Commission of Inquiry into Political
to construct a golden statue as part of a	and the Bribery Act. The police officers involved in	Victimization, <sup>76</sup> established on 29th January
war memorial (Sandahiru Maha Seya).75	the case should also be charged for wrongful	2021 under Special Presidential Commission
	confinement. CoI further recommends an inquiry	of Inquiry Act No. 07 of 1978. The
	into relevant politicians and officials of the Anti-	Commission has power to recommend the
	Corruption Committee against whom civic	imposing of civil disability on those found
	disabilities may be recommended.	guilty. <sup>77</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> 'Former Customs DG, ADG further remanded' (*Daily News*, 9 October 2019) available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;<u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2019/10/09/local/199338/former-customs-dg-adg-further-remanded</u>> accessed 19 February 2021 <sup>76</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No 2212/53 available at < http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf >

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Chandani Kirinde, 'Members of previous Govt. may face civil disability if found guilty by newly appointed Special Presidential CoI' (*DailyFT*, 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021) available at < <u>http://www.ft.lk/front-page/Members-of-previous-Govt-may-face-civil-disability-if-found-guilty-by-newly-appointed-Special-Presidential-CoI/44-712430</u> > accessed 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021.

39. Complaint against allegations of misappropriation of public funds in the Litro Gas case- complaint no. 414/2020		
Complainants:		
Piyadasa Kudabalage		
	The CoI recommends that a Special PCoI be appointed to inquire into the Anti-Corruption	
1	Committee established by the respondents, in order to recommend imposing 7 years of civic	
	disabilities or other measures on those found	1 V
trust, on the recommendation of the	guilty of acts of political victimization.	2021 under Special Presidential Commission
Anti-Corruption Committee.		of Inquiry Act No. 07 of 1978.
The complainant, (along with Gamini Senarath and Neil Hapuhinna) was charged with misappropriating Rs 500 million from Litro Gas and was later acquitted of charges by the Colombo Permanent High Court. <sup>78</sup>	a Management Consultant in a local or international position. Similarly, the CoI	The Commission has power to recommend the imposing of civil disability on those found guilty. <sup>80</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> 'Gamini Senarath acquitted in Litro Gas case' (*Economy Next*, 8 August 2019) available at <<u>https://economynext.com/gamini-senarath-acquitted-in-litro-gas-case-43987/</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No 2212/53 available at < <u>http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf</u> >

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Chandani Kirinde, 'Members of previous Govt. may face civil disability if found guilty by newly appointed Special Presidential CoI' (*DailyFT*, 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021) available at < <u>http://www.ft.lk/front-page/Members-of-previous-Govt-may-face-civil-disability-if-found-guilty-by-newly-appointed-Special-Presidential-CoI/44-712430</u> > accessed 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021

	Corporation befitting his experience.	
	Additionally, the CoI recommends that the respondents (members of the Anti-Corruption Committee) involved in the complaint be charged for the offences of fabricating evidence under the Penal Code.	
<b>40. Complaint against abetting alleg</b> <b>Complainants:</b> Lasantha Bandara	ations of misappropriation of public funds in the l	Litro Gas case- complaint no. 416/2020
The complainant was arrested and	The CoI recommends that the respondent	The appointment of a Special Presidential
investigated for accusations of abetting	politicians and lawyers (members of the Anti-	Commission of Inquiry to implement
the misappropriating Rs 500 million	Corruption Committee, J.C. Weliamuna,	recommendations of the Presidential
from the Litro Gas funds. He was	Jayampathy Wickramaratne and others) involved	Commission of Inquiry into Political
acquitted of charges by the Permanent	in the investigation be charged for the offences of	Victimization, <sup>82</sup> established on 29th January
High Court-at-Bar in August 2019.81	fabricating false evidence.	2021 under Special Presidential Commission
	The CoI recommends that a Special PCoI be	of Inquiry Act No. 07 of 1978.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> 'Gamini Senarath and 2 others acquitted over Litro Gas case' (*Ada Derana*, 8 August 2019) available at
 <a href="http://adaderana.lk/news/56947/gamini-senarath-and-2-others-acquitted-over-litro-gas-case">http://adaderana.lk/news/56947/gamini-senarath-and-2-others-acquitted-over-litro-gas-case</a> accessed 1 February 2021
 <sup>82</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No 2212/53 available at < <a href="http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf">http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf</a> >

	appointed to inquire into the Anti-Corruption	The Commission has power to recommend
	Committee established by the respondents, in	the imposing of civil disability on those
	order to recommend imposing 7 years of civic	found guilty. <sup>83</sup>
	disabilities or other measures on those found	
	guilty of acts of political victimization.	
	Since the termination of the respondent was	
	politically motivated, the CoI recommends	
	appointing him to a position befitting his	
	experience in the Sri Lanka Insurance Corporation.	
41. Complaint against the investigat	ion into the murder of Lasantha Wickrematunge- c	omplaint no. 415/2020
Complainants:		
Prasanna Nanayakkara		
Former Senior DIG Prasanna	The CoI recommends that complainant Prasanna	These recommendations, if implemented,
Nanayakkara was arrested for	Nanayakkara be acquitted of all charges filed	would cause setbacks to a long-delayed
allegations of concealing evidence	against him in the Mount Lavinia Magistrate's	investigation and legal proceedings and
related to the Lasantha Wickrematunge	Court.	would deter police and legal officers from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Chandani Kirinde, 'Members of previous Govt. may face civil disability if found guilty by newly appointed Special Presidential CoI' (*DailyFT*, 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021) available at < <u>http://www.ft.lk/front-page/Members-of-previous-Govt-may-face-civil-disability-if-found-guilty-by-newly-appointed-Special-Presidential-CoI/44-712430</u> > accessed 1 February 2021.

murder investigation, and was later	An inquiry against the respondents should be	pursuing action in the future regarding cases
granted bail. <sup>84</sup>	conducted to determine disciplinary action and	which are considered to be politically
	punishment for offences committed by them. The	sensitive.
	CoI recommends that the respondents involved in	The family of the journalist Lasantha
	the case be charged for the offences of fabricating	Wickrematunge filed a complaint with the
	evidence and corruption under the Penal Code	United Nations Human Rights Committee in
	and the Bribery Act.	January 2021.85 The complaint asserts that
		law enforcement agencies in Sri Lanka has
		either failed or interfered with attempts to
		conduct a credible investigation into the
		murder of the late journalist. <sup>86</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Asian Mirror, 'Lasantha Wickramatunga Murder Case: Former DIG Prasanna Nanayakkara And SI Sugathadasa Released On Bail' available at <<u>https://asianmirror.com/news/item/27825-lasantha-wickramatunga-murder-case-former-dig-prasanna-nanayakkara-and-si-sugathadasa-released-on-bail</u>>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> The Globe and Mail, 'Daughter of slain Sri Lankan journalist files complaint with UN rights committee' available at <a href="http://theglobeandmail.com/world/article-daughter-of-slain-sri-lankan-journalist-files-complaint-with-un-rights/">http://theglobeandmail.com/world/article-daughter-of-slain-sri-lankan-journalist-files-complaint-with-un-rights/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> 'Slain Sri Lankan journalist Lasantha Wickrematunge's daughter files U.N. complaint' (*The Hindu*, 8 January 2021) available at < <u>https://www.thehindu.com/news/international/slain-sri-lankan-journalist-lasantha-wickrematunges-daughter-files-un-</u> <u>complaint/article33530770.ece</u>> accessed 6 April 2021

42. Complaint against accusation of fraudulent transfer of funds related to the Hyatt Regency case- complaint no. 352/2020		
Complainants:		
Neil Hapuhinna		
The complaint Neil Hapuhinna was	The CoI recommends that the respondents should	This recommendation, if implemented,
accused of fraudulent transfer of funds	be charged for the offence of fabricating evidence	would undermine the independence of the
in the sale of Hyatt Regency property to	under the Penal Code. Appropriate disciplinary	investigators and indicate impunity for acts
Sinolanka Pvt. Ltd and	action should also be taken against police officers	of large-scale corruption.
misappropriation of funds from Litro	named as respondents, after holding inquiries.	
Gas Company.		
A formal award was delivered by an		
arbitration tribunal in Singapore on		
October 6, 2017 against Sinolanka,		
ordering the company to pay		
7,432,062.72 Euros to Interna Contract		
Spa (who filed for arbitration) for the		
unlawful termination of the contract.87		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Daily Mirror, 'Grand Hyatt Hotel Project Govt. suffers mammoth loss due to termination of contract' available at <<u>http://www.dailymirror.lk/expose/Grant-Hyatt-Hotel-Project-Govt-suffers-mammoth-loss-due-to-termination-of-contract/333-150691</u>>

43. Complaint against the investigation into the abduction of journalist Prageeth Ekneligoda- complaints no. 24/2020, 200/2020,			
198/2020, 196/2020, 194/2020, 197/2020, 199/2020, 195/2020, 104/2020, 239/2020, 31/2020, 231/2020, 1052/2020 and 347/2020			
Complainants:			
Colonel Shammi Arjuna Kumararatne			
Priyantha Kumara Rajapaksa alias Nadan			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Vinie Priyantha Dilanjan Upasena alias Suresh		
Ravindra Rupasena alias Ranji			
	Chaminda Kumara Abeyratne		
Aiya Sami Balasubramaniam	Kanishka Gunaratne		
Tharanga Prasad Gamage			
TER Peiris			
Colonel Prabodha Siriwardena and other	S		
The complainants include several	The CoI recommends that several respondents	These recommendations, if implemented,	
persons who were indicted for the	(including former Minister Mangala	would cause setbacks to a long-delayed	
abduction of and conspiracy to murder <sup>88</sup>	Samaraweera, former Director of CID Shani	investigation and legal proceedings and	
journalist Prageeth Ekneligoda. The	Abeysekara and others) to the complaint be	undermine the independence of the judiciary	
investigation had been delayed due to	charged for offences of fabricating evidence,	and the investigators. The investigation was	
attempts at withholding evidence and	forgery and wrongful confinement.	marked by attempts at withholding evidence	
the intimidation of witnesses.89		and the intimidation of victims and	
	The CoI further recommends that complainants be	witnesses.	

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Daily Mirror, 'Prageeth Ekneligoda case: Nine army officials indicted' available at <<u>http://www.dailymirror.lk/breaking\_news/Prageeth-Ekneligoda-case-Nine-army-officials-indicted/108-178597</u>>
 <sup>89</sup> Sunday Observer, 'Prageeth: "A politically motivated crime' available at <<u>http://www.sundayobserver.lk/2019/01/20/news-</u>

features/prageeth-%E2%80%9C-politically-motivated-crime%E2%80%9D>

Appearing before the CoI, Colonel	acquitted of all charges filed against them in the	
Siriwardena alleged that the officers of	Homagama Magistrate's Court. Similarly, the	
the CID pressured him to state the	indictment in the case filed in the High Court	
abduction of journalist Prageeth	against several complainants should be	
Ekneligoda was carried out on the	withdrawn.	
instructions of the former Defence		
Secretary Gotabaya Rajapaksa.90	The CoI recommends that Colonel Shammi Arjun	
	Kumararatne be given the post in the foreign	
	service which was denied to him.	
	The CoI recommends that an inquiry should be	
	held and disciplinary action should be taken	
	against several respondents in the police service.	
	The CoI asserts that the Anti-Corruption	
	Committee was targeting a particular group of	
	people. Therefore, the CoI recommends the	
	introduction of legal provisions to ensure the non-	
	recurrence of similar mechanisms.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'PCoI witness claims CID officers pressured him to make statement on Ekneligoda' available at <<u>https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/08/25/pcoi-witness-claims-cid-officers-pressured-him-to-make-statement-on-ekneligoda/</u>>

	Action should be taken against the FCID and related divisions for allegations of disregarding fundamental rights and ethics. The IGP should also consider the allegations of politicisation of the CID.	
	tion into the abduction of Keith Noayhr- complain 2020, 239/2020, 203/2020 and 296/2020	nts no. 232/2020, 353/2020, 297/2020, 257/2020,
Retired Major General Amal Karunaseka Bandara Dissanayake Bulathwatte Nishantha Jayathilake Chamika Sumith Corporal Nishantha Kumara and others	ra	
The complainants are linked with the investigation into the abduction of Keith Noayhr. The investigation was marked with long delays in arresting the suspects. <sup>91</sup> Several persons linked with the investigation testified before the CoI claiming that they were coerced by CID	The CoI recommends that the complainants be acquitted of all charges filed against them in the Mount Lavinia Magistrate's Court. Additionally, the CoI recommends that the respondents (including former Director of CID Shani Abeysekara, CID Inspector Nishantha Silva,	These recommendations, if implemented, would cause setbacks to a long-delayed investigation and legal proceedings and deter officials involved in the investigations from pursuing further action. Recommended action against investigators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Maneshka Bohram, 'Phone records lead CID to big arrest in Keith Noyahr abduction case' available at <<u>http://www.sundayobserver.lk/2018/04/15/news-feature/phone-records-lead-cid-big-arrest-keith-noyahr-abduction-case</u>>
officials to give false statements92 and	MP Ranjan Ramanayake and others) involved in	performing their duties may also set in		
appear as witnesses.93	the case be charged for the offences of fabricating	motion a chilling trend where fear of reprisal		
	evidence under the Penal Code.	may deter such officials from taking		
	CID officials involved in the investigation should	necessary actions.		
	also be charged with wrongful confinement under			
	Section 338 of the Penal Code. A disciplinary inquiry should be held and action be taken against respondents in the police service. Similarly, the IGP should inquire whether misuse of public funds occurred during the course of the investigation.			
45. Complaint against the investigation into the purchase of a land by the D.A. Rajapaksa Foundation- complaint no. 1885/2020				
Complainants:				
William Wijesinghe Gamage				
This case is related to the complainant's	The CoI recommends that the complainant	These recommendations, if implemented,		
involvement in the purchase of a land in	William Wijesinghe Gamage (who was part of the	would cause setbacks to a long-delayed		

the Gampaha District by the D. A. 2010 Parliamentary election campaign of former investigation and legal proceedings and deter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Zulfick Farzan, 'CID misled court over Lasantha Wickrematunge killing; Ex-Intelligence Officer' available at <a href="https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/10/28/cid-misled-court-over-lasantha-wickrematunge-killing-ex-intelligence-officer/">https://www.newsfirst.lk/2020/10/28/cid-misled-court-over-lasantha-wickrematunge-killing-ex-intelligence-officer/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Ceylon Today, 'Journalist Keith Noyahr abduction case: Was coerced into appearing as State witness – Rtd Sgt Somasuriya' available at <<u>https://ceylontoday.lk/news/journalist-keith-noyahr-abduction-case-was-coerced-into-appearing-as-state-witness-rtd-sgt-somasuriya</u>>

Rajapaksa Foundation. The FCID	Minister Basil Rajapaksa) be acquitted of all	officials involved in the investigations from		
investigated how the funds were	charges filed against him in the Gampaha	pursuing further action.		
obtained by former Economic	Magistrate's Court and disciplinary action be			
Development Minister Basil Rajapaksa	taken against police officers of the investigation.			
to purchase the land.94 The Gampaha				
Magistrate's Court ordered to stop the				
sale and transfer of the land.95				
46. Complaint against the investigation into the 16 acre land in Malwana- complaint no. 1968/2020				
Complainants:				
Thirukumar Nadesan				
-	The CoI recommends actions be taken against			
relation to the investigation into the 16	Upul Kumara Ramawickrama for filing a false	would interfere with proceedings of the		
acre land in Malwana and was later	complaint against Basil Rajapaksa at the FCID.	judiciary, the Attorney General's Department		
released on bail. <sup>96</sup>	Disciplinary action should also be taken against	and undermine the independence of		
The land was believed to have been	the two police officers who filed charges at the	investigators.		
bought by the former Economic	Pugoda Magistrate's Court based on the alleged			
Development Minister Basil Rajapaksa	false complaint.			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Daily Mirror, 'FCID obtains order on Basil's office' available at <<u>https://www.pressreader.com/sri-lanka/daily-mirror-sri-lanka/20151125/281500750162135</u>>

95 ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Mahanama Vithanage, 'Thirukumar Nadesan arrested, granted bail' (*Daily News*, 18 October 2016) available at <<u>http://www.dailynews.lk/2016/10/18/local/96297</u> > accessed 19 February 2021

using public funds. The former Minister	The CoI further recommends the withdrawal of	
had denied the ownership of the land.	the indictment filed in the High Court of	
Pugoda Magistrate and Additional	Gampaha.	
District Judge ordered that the land be		
auctioned in the case filed against the		
former Minister by the FCID under the		
Money Laundering Act.97		
47. Complaint against allegations of no. 956/2020 Complainants:	f misuse of public funds against Commissioner of	the Colombo Municipal Council- complaint
Badrani Jayawardene		
Badrani Jayawardene The complainant had been previously	The CoI recommends that the complainant	This recommendation, if implemented,
	The CoI recommends that the complainant Badhrani Jayawardene be allowed to report to	This recommendation, if implemented, would undermine the independence of the
The complainant had been previously	-	-
The complainant had been previously required to refrain from reporting to	Badhrani Jayawardene be allowed to report to	would undermine the independence of the
The complainant had been previously required to refrain from reporting to work due to allegations of misuse of	Badhrani Jayawardene be allowed to report to work in the Colombo Municipal Council and her	would undermine the independence of the
The complainant had been previously required to refrain from reporting to work due to allegations of misuse of public resources for election campaigns	Badhrani Jayawardene be allowed to report to work in the Colombo Municipal Council and her	would undermine the independence of the
The complainant had been previously required to refrain from reporting to work due to allegations of misuse of public resources for election campaigns while she was the Commissioner of the	Badhrani Jayawardene be allowed to report to work in the Colombo Municipal Council and her	would undermine the independence of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> 'FCID arrests Nirupama Rajapaksa's husband' (*Daily Mirror*, 17 October 2016) available at <<u>http://www.dailymirror.lk/117555/www.hsbc.lk</u>> accessed 18 February 2021

had violated elections laws by transferring CMC employees from				
department to department.98				
48. Complaints against removals of several public officers due to various allegations- complaints no. 571/2020, 434/2020, 1871/2020,				
950/2020, 1140/2020, 1141/2020, 60/2020, 555/2020, 551/2020, 554/2020, 274/2020, 1320/2020, 610/2020 and 567/2020				
Complainants:				
Rohana Pradeep Jayasuriya				
Nimalsiri Lakshman				
Ajith Pushpakumara				
Priyantha Gunathilake Bandara and others				
The completenests indude concern	The Cell also recommon do the reinstatement and	These meaning detions if implemented		
	The CoI also recommends the reinstatement and	These recommendations, if implemented,		
officers of the Public or Police Service	compensation of several officers of the Public or	would undermine the independence of the		
who had previously been removed from	Police Service who had previously been removed	public service and the investigators.		
service due to allegations of misuse of	from service due to allegations of misuse of public			
public resources, politically motivated	resources, politically motivated actions and			
actions and allegations of financial	allegations of financial irregularities.			
irregularities.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Yohan Perera and Jehan Gunasekera, 'Muzzammil on pre-election war path' (*Daily Mirror*, 23 December 2014) available at <<u>https://www.pressreader.com/sri-lanka/daily-mirror-sri-lanka/20141223/281672548296648</u>> accessed 19 February 2021

## Implications and concerns regarding the recommendations of the CoI

The recommendations of the CoI, if implemented, would lead to the derogation of due process and fair trial rights guaranteed by the Constitution and undermine the independence of several institutions such as the judiciary, the Attorney General's Department and others. Furthermore, the recommendations may set a precedence for deterring officials from taking necessary action in investigating and prosecuting cases considered politically sensitive, in addition to discouraging witnesses from coming forward due to fear of reprisal. The outcomes of the CoI may also have long-term implications for the on-going accountability processes and cause further setbacks to long-delayed investigations and legal proceedings and thus further entrenching impunity in Sri Lanka.

On 9th April 2021, Prime Minister Mahinda Rajapakse tabled a Resolution in Parliament seeking approval to implement the recommendations mentioned in Item No. 09 and 10 in the final report of the CoI. Item No. 09 and 10 include recommendations on several emblematic cases and on-going investigations related to corruption and financial irregularities flagged in the table above. The motion is listed to be moved in Parliament by the Prime Minister this week.

The Resolution seeks the approval of the Parliament to refer the decisions and recommendations made by the CoI on the complaints in Item No. 09 to the relevant authorities, including the Commission to Investigate Allegations of Bribery or Corruption, the Public Service Commission, the Inspector General of Police, the Minister in-charge of the relevant Ministries and the Secretaries to the Ministries, for implementation. It also seeks approval to institute criminal proceedings against investigators, lawyers, officers of the Attorney General's Department, witnesses and others involved in the cases, and to dismiss several cases currently pending in court. If

the Resolution were to be passed in Parliament, it would undermine the independence of the judiciary and the rule of law.

These concerns are exacerbated by the establishment of the SPCoI, which provides the institutional framework for a mechanism geared towards targeting individuals and institutions, undermining the ability of such individuals and institutions to uphold the rule of law. The SPCoI is empowered to recommend the imposition of civic disability on persons found guilty of political victimization listed in Item No. 08 of the final report of the CoI. Whilst a CoI is only a fact-finding body, the powers of the SPCoI has a far-reaching impact on the basic rights of citizens. CPA briefly discusses concerns with the SPCoI below.

# Section II- The SPCoI to implement recommendations of the CoI on Political Victimization

Section II outlines the legal framework of Special Presidential Commissions of Inquiry and includes a brief account of several past SPCoI initiatives to demonstrate the implications of their recommendations for the basic rights of citizens. The section also includes an overview of the mandate of the current SPCoI, which was extended in April 2021.

### Legal Framework and related issues

#### Special Presidential Commissions of Inquiry Act No. 4 of 1978

The Special Presidential Commissions of Inquiry Bill was presented to the National State Assembly on 30<sup>th</sup> January 1978 and passed on 2<sup>nd</sup> February 1978. The Bill was categorized as "urgent in the national interest" and passed with undue haste, circumventing the constitutional requirement for it to be on the agenda paper of the Parliament for seven days, and precluding public engagement.<sup>99</sup>

According to Section 2 of the Act, a Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry can be appointed whenever the President thinks it is necessary to inquire and obtain information pertaining to the administration of any public or local body, any law or administration of justice or any matter in respect of which an inquiry will, in his opinion, be in the public interest, safety or welfare.

The powers of a Commission of Inquiry appointed under the Act are set out in section 7 of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Civil Rights Movement of Sri Lanka, Statement on Hasty Legislation & the Rights of the People (19th February 1978)

(1) (a) to procure and receive evidence and examine persons as witnesses, as the commission may think it necessary

(b) to require the evidence of any witness to be given on an oath or affirmation and to administer or to be administered by an officer authorised by the commission an oath or affirmation to every such witness

(c) to summon any persons to attend any meeting of the commission to give evidence or produce any document or other thing in his possession, and to examine him as a witness or require him to produce a document or other thing in his possession

(d) notwithstanding any of the provisions of the Evidence Ordinance, or of any other written law, to admit any evidence which may be inadmissible in any court in civil or criminal proceedings

(e) subject to any direction contained in the warrant, to admit or exclude the public and press to or from the inquiry

(f) to recommend that any person whose conduct is the subject of inquiry under this Law or who is implicated or concerned in a matter under inquiry, be awarded such sum of money as in the opinion of the commission) may have been reasonably incurred by such persons as costs and expenses.

- (2) All or any of the following powers may by an amendment to the warrant be conferred by the President on a commission established under this Law, if the commission so requests,
  - (a) To require by written notice the manager of any bank or lending institution or any officer to produce any book or document of the bank or institution containing entries relating to any transaction with any person whose conduct is being inquired into by the commission
  - (b) To require by written notice the Commissioner General of Inland Revenue or the Controller of Exchange or any other related officer to furnish all

information available to such official relating to the affairs of any person whose conduct is being inquired into by the commission

- (c) To prohibit by written order, the manager of any bank from permitting or allowing the withdrawal of any funds standing to the credit of any account in that bank of any such person specified in the order as the commission considers necessary, except any such reasonable withdrawal approved by writing
- (d) To require by written order the Controller of Immigration and Emigration to impound the passport and other travel documents of any such person as shall be specified in the order, being a person whose evidence or presence may be necessary at any inquiry, until such time as such order is revoked.
- (e) To require by written order any such police officer as shall be specified in that order to take all such steps as may be necessary to prevent the departure from Sri Lanka of any such persons so specified, being a person whose evidence or presence may be necessary until such time as the order is revoked.
- (f) To require by written order any such telecommunication authority or officer to produce as so specified any book or document containing entries relating to any message which is in possession of any such authority as the commission considers necessary.

(3) The commission shall have the power to call for representations from the public relating to matters within the scope of its terms of reference and to inquire into and report upon such representations.

(4) A commission established under this Law may exercise any power conferred on the commission under subsection (1) and any person to whom the commission issues any direction in the exercise of such power shall carry out direction notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any other law.

Under Section 9 of the Act, the commission is authorized to recommend civic disabilities where it finds at the inquiry and reports to the President that any person has been guilty of any act of political victimization, misuse or abuse of power, corruption or any fraudulent act, in relation to any court, tribunal or public body, or in relation to the administration of any law. The President shall therefore cause such findings to be published in the Gazette and direct that such report be published.

Civic disability thus entails the disqualification of a person from being an elector and from voting, or from being nominated as a candidate at elections (Presidential, Parliamentary and local government); and from being employed or holding office as a public officer.<sup>100</sup>

It is also important to note that in terms of the act, any report, finding or recommendation by a commission shall be final and conclusive, and cannot be questioned in any court or tribunal.<sup>101</sup> However, recommendations of several past initiatives related to SPCoIs have been reviewed by courts.

#### Past initiatives related to SPCoI

### 1. Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry depriving civic rights of Mrs. S. Bandaranaike

Mrs. Sirimavo Bandaranaike was charged with allegations of abuse and misuse of power and corruption, and was summoned before a Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry established in 1978.<sup>102</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Section 9(3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Section 9(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Daily News, 'Sirimavo's enforced exile', available at <<u>https://www.dailynews.lk/2017/12/13/features/137180/sirimavo%E2%80%99s-enforced-exile</u>>

The Court of Appeal granted a Writ of Prohibition against the Special PCoI, stating that the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry Law was not retrospective in its function and therefore an inquiry of a period before its enactment was beyond its jurisdiction. However, this was circumvented by two amendments with retrospective effect, and the unprecedented steps taken by Parliament to declare that the verdict of the Court of Appeal was null and void. Mrs. Bandaranaike was subsequently found guilty of the aforementioned allegations by the SPCoI, resulting in the imposition of civic disability and her expulsion from the Parliament in 1980.<sup>103</sup>

The day after her expulsion, two sudden amendments were made to the Parliamentary Elections Act and the Presidential Elections Act. The amendments prohibit "…any person who is disqualified by a resolution from in any way participating during the period of disability at a parliamentary (and presidential) election…"and acting in contravention to the prohibition is an offence punishable with imprisonment of up to six months or a fine of up to one thousand rupees or both.<sup>104</sup>

Dr. Colvin R. de Silva stated that "to subject the citizen to civic disabilities, that is to say, to the loss of his civic right, is to sentence him to political death" and that the act of political interference with the judiciary is a "shameful episode in our legislative history whose adverse impact on the independence of the judiciary and on judicial independence is incalculable."<sup>105</sup>

In a letter to then President J.R. Jayawardena, Bishop Lakshman Wickremesinghe, Chairman of the Civil Rights Movement of Sri Lanka, wrote that "to make Mrs. Sirimavo R.D. Bandaranaike subject to disability, on the recommendation of the Special

<sup>103</sup> ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Civil Rights Movement of Sri Lanka, 'Surprise amendments restricting participation in Presidential and Parliamentary Elections' (2<sup>nd</sup> November 1980)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Sunday Observer, 'Imposition of civic disability on Sirimavo Bandaranaike: A monumental political mistake' available at <a href="http://archives.sundayobserver.lk/2004/10/10/fea26.html">http://archives.sundayobserver.lk/2004/10/10/fea26.html</a>

Presidential Commission of Inquiry" would "undermine one of the basic foundations of a vibrant democracy. He also wrote that "the proceedings of the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry cannot be described as a fair and impartial judicial process."<sup>106</sup>

### 2. Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry appointed in 1995 to inquire into alleged malpractices in certain government bodies

The Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry appointed in 1995 to inquire into alleged malpractices in certain government bodies held that the Respondent Tenahandi Wijayapala Hector Mendis is guilty of misuse or abuse of power under Section 9(1) of the Special Presidential Commissions of Inquiry Law and recommended that he be made subject to civic disability under the aforementioned provision.<sup>107</sup> The Supreme Court in *Wijayapala Mendis v P.R.P. Perera* found that the recommendation by the Special PCoI to impose civic disability was arbitrary and unreasonable.

### **3.** Special Presidential Commissions of Inquiry into the assassinations of Lalith Athulathmudali and Vijaya Kumaratunga

The rules of fair trial were ignored and the Commission took the evidence of persons who acted on personal animosities as concluding the guilt of others. Statements repeated to second and even third persons were treated as 'unassailable evidence.' Former Supreme Court justice A.C. Alles rejected the conclusions of the Commissioners that a prima facie case had been established against those 'implicated' because they 'may' have had a 'motive.' As Justice Alles pointed out, 'guilt by motive' was 'completely alien to established principles of criminal law.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Letter to President JR Jayawardene by Bishop Lakshman Wickremesinghe 14 October 1980 from A selection of writings by the late Right Reverend Bishop Lakshman Wickremesinghe, Bishop of Kurunegala, Chairman of the Civil Rights Movement of Sri Lanka, published by CRM on Human Rights Day 10 December 1983.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Wijayapala Mendis v P.R.P. Perera [1999] 2 SriLR 110, page 119 available at <</p>
<u>https://www.lawnet.gov.lk/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/014-SLLR-SLLR-1999-V-2-WIJAYAPALA-MENDIS-v.-P.-R.-P.-PERERA-AND-OTHERS.pdf</u> >

Reflecting on the findings of the SPCoI, author of 'Sri Lanka: Arrogance of Power – Myth, Decadence and Murder', academic Rajan Hoole observed that, 'the legal process has become debased to a point where its function is more to suppress the truth about major national calamities than to bring it out and make the guilty accountable.'<sup>108</sup>

## Mandate of the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry into Political Victimization

The Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry to implement recommendations of the Presidential Commission of Inquiry into Political Victimization (the SPCoI) was appointed by Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2212/53 on 29th January 2021.<sup>109</sup> The SPCoI initially consisted of Hon. Dhammika Priyantha Samarakoon Jayawardena Esquire (Judge of the Supreme Court), Hon. Khema Kumudini Wickremasinghe (Judge of the Supreme Court) and Hon. Rathnapriya Gurusinghe (Judge of the Court of Appeal). Following the resignation of Justice Rathnapriya Gurusinghe, Justice Sobhitha Rajakaruna was appointed as a commissioner.<sup>110</sup>

The mandate of the SPCoI to implement recommendations of the Presidential Commission of Inquiry into Political Victimization is as follows.

The SPCoI is mandated to investigate if the respondents mentioned in the item No. 08 [relating to the Anti-Corruption Committee] of the Commission of Inquiry into Political Victimization report was,

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> 'Real risks of a special presidential commission of inquiry' (*Sunday Times*, 8 Dec 2019) available at <a href="https://www.pressreader.com/sri-lanka/sunday-times-sri-lanka/20191208/281994674365356">https://www.pressreader.com/sri-lanka/sunday-times-sri-lanka/20191208/281994674365356</a>
 <sup>109</sup> Gazette Extraordinary No. 2212/53 of 29th January 2021 available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;<u>http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf</u>> <sup>110</sup> Gazette Extraordinary No. 22215/59 of 19th February 2021 available at <

http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/2/2215-59\_E.pdf>

I. Violating the provisions of the Constitution of Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, while destructing the oath which has been given in accordance with the provision of the said Constitution

II. Abuse or misuse of power, interference, fraud, corruption, criminal misuse, criminal breach of trust or nepotism

III. Political retaliation against someone

IV. When,

- (a) Making any appointment or transfer,
- (b) Granting a promotion,
- (c) Terminating the service of someone due to any type of disorder
- (d) Violating of any written law.<sup>111</sup>

If the respondents have engaged in the above malpractices to recommend whether such person should be subjected to a community disability according to the provisions of Article 81 of the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka and Section 9 of the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry Act No. 7 of 1978, as amended by the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry (Special Provisions) Act No. 4 of 1978.

The SPCoI is authorized to conduct the necessary investigations and transmit to the President the Final Report or Interim Reports within three months of the date of appointment of the Commission. The SPCoI is directed to hold any inquiry or investigation without public awareness. All Government Officers, Officers of the Statutory Boards and other persons from whom the SPCoI may request assistance or information are directed to give all possible assistance and provide all possible information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No. 2212/53 of 29th January 2021 available at <<u>http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/1/2212-53\_E.pdf</u>>

All witnesses before the SPCoI are given immunity from prosecution as per Section 14 of the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry Act.

The mandate of the SPCoI was amended to include item No. 2 by Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2221/54 of 1st April 2021, which states,

"[W]ithout prejudice to the particular specificity of the matters to be inquired into and reported upon by you (the Commissioners), in terms of item No. 1 of the original Terms of Reference, issued to you, as contained in the Gazette (Extraordinary) No. 2212/53 published on 29th January, 2021, in addition thereto, to also inquire into and report whether all or any of the findings, decisions and recommendations contained in the aforesaid report of the Presidential Commission of Inquiry, made in respect of all the persons identified therein, are justified and whether any or all of the same, should be implemented or caused to be implemented, or otherwise pursued and if you, in your discretion deem so necessary, to cause any limited or general investigation or further investigation, into any matter arising from and within the terms of this amended terms of reference, or in the alternative thereto, limiting your inquiry to the detailed consideration and comprehensive scrutiny of the contents of the aforesaid Report Per Se, either in general, or in particular, as you may deem fit."<sup>112</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Extraordinary Gazette No. 2221/54 of 1 April 2021 available at < <u>http://www.documents.gov.lk/files/egz/2021/4/2221-54\_E.pdf</u>>

### Conclusion

In this commentary, CPA has outlined the background and the legal framework of the CoI and the SPCoI with reference to past initiatives, to briefly illustrate the underlying issues and implications of CoI and SPCoI mechanisms in relation to political victimization. While acknowledging the importance of access to legal safeguards for individuals who claim to be subjected to political victimization, it is evident that the existing legal framework is in need of structural reforms to ensure that such safeguards are not instrumentalized to impede attempts to seek accountability and justice. CPA further notes that the mandate and findings of the CoI have been challenged in court by various parties including parliamentarians, public officials and the civil society.

CPA continues to monitor developments related to the SPCoI. However, there is limited information available in the public domain with regards to the on-going proceedings of the SPCoI. The proceedings have been criticized due to allegations of politicization and lack of transparency. Considering its mandate and significant implications it may carry, CPA stresses the importance of transparency and accountability of such mechanisms.

Finally, CPA reiterates its concerns with the processes discussed in the present commentary and its implications for the rule of law in Sri Lanka. The commentary flags the potential impact the recommendations of the CoI may have and attention must be on next steps including the Resolution presently before Parliament and subsequent steps that maybe taken. All these measures may have significant implications to strengthen an already powerful executive, undermine investigations and judicial proceedings and result in a trust deficit in institutions and processes in Sri Lanka. It is thus incumbent to have greater scrutiny, debate and challenge any action that may contribute to the democratic backsliding in Sri Lanka.